



---

## The role of judiciary in India: If balancing activism or working under the influence of politics?

Pinninti Nagaraju

Department of Political Science, Kakatiya University, Warangal, Telangana, India

---

### Abstract

The wheels of Justice are known to grind very slowly. But turn they do, although in many cases the wait may involve years if not decades." Judiciary is the third very important organ of Indian Government. Other two organs are Legislature and Executive. Their functions are making of laws and execution of laws respectively. It is the backbone of the government because whenever there is a dispute between the Center and State, between State and the citizens and among the states, Judiciary is the only organ which controls the dispute and pass judgment. Judgment passed by the Judiciary is binding on all whether it may be citizens or government. Judiciary is the guardian of the human rights, protector of the constitution and promoter of peace and cordiality in India. It checks and balances the legislative or executive actions of the Government. It is very difficult to answer the question that whether Judges in India are free from political influence? As we all know that it is the sole responsibility of Supreme Court and high Courts in India to protect the Fundamental Rights of Citizens in our country. So, it is natural that wide powers should be given to the Courts so that they will maintain harmony and attain the objective set out in the Preamble of the constitution. If the judges become partial or if they work under the influence of politicians, the whole system will paralyze. In this article an effort have been made by citing various examples which shows that judiciary is playing its role impartially or without any kind of political influence. Role played by the Judiciary is highly appraisable. It is moving its approach from outdated methods of punishment towards innovative methods of punishment. In all over the world this effort of our Judiciary found recognition and appreciation.

**Keywords:** judiciary, punishment, recognition, appreciation, innovative, impartially, fundamental, politicians, preamble, supreme

---

### Introduction

The constitution of India is the largest Constitution of the world. It provides three main organs upon which the democracy functions. These three organs include (a) Legislature (b) executive (c) Judiciary. Legislature is the law making body. There are two houses i.e. Lok Sabha (The Lower House) and the Rajya Sabha (The Upper House). Bills are drafted and passed with the majority in the Lok Sabha. Then after passing, the bill moves to the Rajya Sabha for discussion and if it is passed in Rajya Sabha then it moves to the president for taking the assent. Once the assent is given by the President the bill becomes law. Executive is another important organ of the constitution. The role played by the Executive includes the enforcement of the law. If the law is not enforced literally then the Judiciary has the power to punish the guilty. So, very important role is played by the judiciary i.e. to punish the guilty or the wrong doer. It is, therefore, expected from the judiciary to play its role very cautiously and impartially so that justice should not be denied to anyone on the ground of sex, caste, creed or religion.

This unique three tier system is the basis which makes our constitution the largest constitution in the world.

### 1. Role of Judiciary

The Indian Constitution protects the citizens from any partial judgment. That's why supreme power is given to the Judiciary to make decisions based on the rule of law. The courts in India neither are nor controlled by the government and they do not represent any political authority".

#### a. Separation of Power

This independence of Judiciary calls for Separation of Power". This basically means that both the legislature and executive are not allowed to interfere in the functioning of the Judiciary. So, in order to successfully execute their independent authority, the judges of both the Supreme Court and the High Courts must be appointed without any influence on interference from other branches of the government or from private or partisan interests.

#### b. Structure of courts

The Judiciary is one of the three lynchpins of a democracy, the other two being the legislature and the executive. All three work in the concert to ensure that the democratic system works efficiently. However, the executive and the legislature need checks on their power. Judiciary has many important roles to fulfill which includes:

- To act as guardian and interpreter of the constitution.
- To protect fundamental and other rights of the citizens of India

To fulfill the duty imposed on the shoulders of judiciary, the judicial system is divided into three levels of Courts in India.

### **District court**

It is the lowest court situated in every district of each State. This is where most citizens go to for any dispute in their city or religion.

### **High court**

Each state has its own High Court, which is most certainly the highest judicial authority of the state. Any person aggrieved by the order of the District Court can appeal to the high Court for its grievances.

### **Supreme court**

This is the Apex Court in a country. All other Courts including High Courts and the District Courts are subordinate to it. The decisions made by the Supreme Court stands above all other subordinate Courts. Decision passed by the Supreme Court is final and binding on the parties. Interpret the laws made by the legislature. The verdict of the Supreme Court is final if by any act of the legislature or Executive, any Fundamental rights or human rights are

#### **a. Dispute resolution**

The courts also have the right to punish people for the crimes they commit. Almost every abridges. Social situation which needs a rule is managed by the Judiciary. So, whenever there is a dispute, the courts intervene in providing solutions. Whether that dispute may be between citizens, citizens and government or between two governments or even the central and state government, the State is responsible for dispute Resolution.

#### **b. Judicial review**

The judiciary has the final hold on the Constitution of India. As such, if there is any violation of 1) Interpreting the constitution with due difference to the fundamentals of the Constitution, the court can even over write laws passed by the Parliament. This process is called Judicial Review.

#### **c. Upholding the law and enforcing fundamental rights**

Almost all fundamental rights of Indian Citizens are defined in our Constitution. In case, any citizens feel citizens. That any of such rights are violated, they can approach their local High Courts or the Supreme Court under legislature. Articles 226 or 32 of the Constitution.

### **1. Judiciary under Indian constitution**

Judiciary under Indian Constitution plays a pro vital role. Its achievement has been significant in all areas of the nation's life. As it is one of the powerful institutions of the world, it decides cases touching all facts of human life and relationship. It is the guardian of the human rights, protector of the constitution and promoter of the peace, cordiality and balance between different organs of the government. The Constitution of India which was drafted by the Constituent Assembly and which came into force on 26<sup>th</sup> January 1950 contains number of provisions that deal with structure, functions and power of the judiciary. It introduced a unified system in all the States and Union Territories. It virtually introduced a three tier judicial system viz. the Supreme Court, the High Courts in every States and Union Territories.

The degree of Intervention of judiciary may depend on the legal system followed in Different countries of the world. For example, in Britain, as there is no written Constitution, the Judiciary may exercise only limited powers of judicial review Vis-a Vis the delegated legislation and ministerial action of the government. So, the law of the judiciary in Britain is of law application and law interpretation.

In USA, the judiciary is considered as the supreme body over the legislature and executive. The Constitution of USA enables the judiciary to check the other two organs if they indulge in any excess."

However, in India, the judiciary has come to exercise vast powers of Judicial Review in respect of the legislative and executive functions of the State and of the judicial actions of the Judiciary. The Supreme Court and High Court in India not only act as the arbiters to determine or resolve the disputes that may arise between the Centre and State but it also protect and enforce the fundamental rights of the citizens against arbitrary action of the States. They also Very unique feature of the Indian Apex Judiciary is that it has the power to determine the validity of constitutional amendments which perhaps is seen nowhere under any other constitution, whether codified or un codified.

In nutshell, the judiciary generally performs one or many of the following functions in constitutional democracies.

1. Interpreting the constitution with due difference to the Wishes of the framers of the constitution
2. Upholding the federal principle of maintaining the balance between the various organs of the government Or between center and the states.

3. Guarding and protecting the fundamental rights of the
4. Applying and interpreting the laws made by the
5. To check and balance the legislative or executive actions of the government.
6. Under Article 32 and 226 the Supreme Court and the High Court respectively has the power to issue writs or orders for achieving the objectives of those articles.
7. Through Public Interest Litigations, Judiciary has the power to ask the government about the implementation of the schemes run by the government. For example, in *Direction in Common Cause v. Union of India*, the Apex Court laid down directions for how blood should be collected, stored and given for transfusion and how blood transfusion could be made free from hazards.

Again in *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India*, the Supreme Court issued directions to the government to disseminate knowledge about environment through slides in cinemas, theatres or special lessons in schools or colleges.

In a very popular case the Apex Court laid down directions as to how children of prostitutes should be educated. Similarly, Directions in the *Azad Rickshaw Puller's Case* were issued by the Supreme Court to the Punjab National Bank to advance loans to the rickshaw pullers and contain a whole scheme for the repayment to such loans.

However, Chief Justice J.S. Verma in *Vishakha and Others v. State of Rajasthan* said, "The primary responsibility for ensuring the safety and the dignity of the citizens through suitable legislations and the creation of a mechanism for its enforcement is of the legislature and the executive. When, however instances of violation of fundamental rights of citizens taken place then some guidelines should be laid down for the protection of this right to fill the legislative vacuum."

## 2. Is Indian judiciary really free from political influence?

It's a very difficult question to be answered. A political influence can be removed from a system if you remove the politicians from it. The Supreme Court is the guardian of the constitution. It has the sole responsibility to protect the fundamental rights of every citizen of our country but the transparency of the judiciary depends on the Honorable Judges and their appointments.

## 3. Let's take the following points into consideration

Till August 2014, the appointment of the Judges was done by the Collegium System." With this system for the appointments of the judges, the judiciary has nothing to do with the politicians and their opinion and was completely out of the political influence.

Then comes a turning point." There is a commission called as National Judicial Appointments Commission (NJAC). This body is responsible for the appointment and transfer of Judges to the higher Judiciary in India. The NJAC was established in India by amending the constitution of India, through the Ninety-Ninth Constitution Amendment, Constitution (Ninety-Ninth Amendment) Act, 2014 passed by the Lok Sabha on 13th Aug, 2014 and by the Rajya Sabha on 1th Aug, 2014.

Within one day only both the houses passed the above stated bill.

### a. Composition of NJAC

Let's have a look over the composition of NJAC

As per the amended provisions of the constitution, the NJAC will consist of the following six persons:

- Chief Justice of India (Chairperson, ex-officio)
- Two other senior Judges of The Supreme Court next to
- the CJI (ex-officio) The Union Minister of Law and Justice (ex-officio)
- (This is the source of political influence) Two eminent persons"
- Now we can make a prejudice that how would it be out of the political influence? Can our Judges be able to provide a fair and fearless decision to the common man against politicians?

The answer in the general pretext is NO. The Judiciary is not free from the political influence. It is well known in legal circles that certain Judges outright favour particular political affiliations and it is a source of bitterness within the Bar.

But directly Indian Judiciary is free from any kind of political influence. There are so many examples in common law where we feel proud on our Judiciary or on our Judicial System.

Indian judges took appraisable decision in strict to strict cases. It is the organ which always retains faith in the public in rem.

## Let's discuss some of the cases in detail

1. The first case which is important to cite here is that the Apex Court asked Mayawati (Bahujan Samaj Party's Supremo) to return the money-approximately Rs. 2000/- Crores from her own pocket"  
A Public Interest Litigation (PIL) was filed by advocate Ravi Kant in 2009 in the Apex Court. CJI Hon'ble Ranjan Gogoi heard the petition and asked Sushri Mayawati to pay Rs. 2000/- Crores from her own pocket. A verdict in case could settle once and for all the issue on spending public money on building elephant statues. including her own, in Lucknow and Noida during her tenure as Chief Minister of U.P.

2. Although BSP Supremo Sushri Mayawati in her own verdict said that her statues represent the Dalit struggle, there was proper budgetary allocation to build the statues and as far as elephant's are concerned, elephants do not only represent BSP. They are an architectural symbol used in Indian Traditional architecture.

This case shows that our Judiciary is totally free from political influence despite the fact that the person is president of any political party or any influential group. 2) Another important case which is important to cite here is the case of Bihar's Chief Minister Nitish Kumar and his involvement in the murder of a Congress worker, Sitaram Singh on November 16, 1991 cases. Although the Bihar's Chief Minister consider it nothing but "Political Vendetta".

One Ashok Singh, who claimed to be a relative of Sitaram, alleged against Nitish Kumar and several others of hatching a conspiracy to kill Sita Ram in order to win the elections.

On 15th March 2019, Justice A Amanullah of Patna high court set aside the criminal proceedings initiated against Bihar Chief Minister Nitish Kumar by a lower court in a 28 years old murder case."

This case cited an example of faith reposed in Judiciary by a citizen of India.

3. In July 2017, Nitishkumar again faced a new problem. One Mr. M. L. Sharma, a lawyer in Delhi had filed a PIL in the Supreme Court seeking cancellation of Kumar's election to the Bihar Legislative council on the ground that he had allegedly cancelled the pending Criminal case against him in the affidavit he filed before The election commission  
Sharma also claimed that Kumar had hidden his Criminal records while filing election affidavit since 2004, except in July 17.

However, on the basis of the submission made by the Election Commission, Supreme Court dismissed Sharma's PIL by saying that it was "devoid of any merit".

### Conclusion

The Supreme Court in Indian Democracy plays a provital role. It is the highest court in the Indian Judiciary system and one of the three coequal branches of the national government. It has primary, though not exclusive responsibility for interpreting the Indian constitution and for defining the scope and content of its key position. As a principle guardian of the constitution, the courts are frequently called upon to assess the validity of statutes passed by legislative majority. The Supreme Court in India also maintains a check and balance on the other two organs of the government.

It Is a fact that the judiciary led by the Supreme Court has at times made forays into the typical political arena but it has retracted to its own jurisdiction because of self realization and public outcry.

So in the end this study revealed that the Supreme Court has by and large played its constitutional role very well and has always upheld the principal of constitutionalism. The courts must stay-off from political arena by not donning the political role. They should remember that the court cannot save the country but they may be able only to buy the time necessary for revitalization of other institution of the government. Though it is a very well established fact that the judicial activism of the Supreme Court has helped in enforcing the rights and interests of the citizens and also in keeping the other branches of the government within their constitutional boundaries, the judiciary should constantly remind itself that the need of the hour is the supremacy of the constitutional and not the supremacy of the judiciary.

### References

1. <https://www.toppr.com>, visited on 21st May 2019.
2. <https://www.indiacelebrating.com>, visited 21st May 2019.
3. Supra Note.
4. Ibid.
5. Omdutt, Role of Judiciary in Democratic System of India (Judicial Activism under the Supreme Court of India): Golden Research Thoughts, September; 2012, 2(3).