



Beyond the battlefield: The Arthashastra paradigm for hybrid warfare

Deepsikha Mohapatra, Abhisek Dash

Department of Political Science, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Abstract

Kautilya, a profound ancient Indian political and economic strategist and author of the Arthashastra developed an encyclopedic framework for statecraft, political economy, warfare and intelligence. Though his ideas date back to the 4th century BCE, Kautilya's principles of governance and state security remain timeless and can be interpreted and applied to the modern-day predicament. His dynamic insights on powerplay, diplomacy and military strategy continue to reverberate in the contemporary geopolitical landscape, particularly with the advent of cybersecurity and hybrid warfare. These new forms of conflicts between and among states or non-state actors can be well understood by Kautilya's principles of espionage, war strategies, alliances and covert operations. This paper delves into integrating classical wisdom with a contemporary plan of action and how military strategists, policymakers and practitioners can implement Kautilyan thought to steer increasingly interconnected and intrinsic problems of the 21st century. The strategies and precepts of Arthashastra must be implemented by India to affirm its paramount position in the international arena. The findings suggest that Kautilya's multidimensional approach to governance offers a blueprint that can be adapted in the modern age of global circumstances defined by digital threats and hybrid conflicts.

Keywords: Arthashastra, cybersecurity, hybrid warfare, espionage, covert operations

Introduction

The international scene has been turning into a cradle of growing conflict. From the contemporary bitter relations with its neighbors that India has established to that of the hate in the West, the list is long. The prime reason of the world turning as an uneasy place is that the nation states aim to pursue the national interest through all means possible. Here, they do not only confine themselves to the military means but also adopt non-military ways to subjugate other states. It is not limited to the nation states only but it has gone beyond that and included the non-state actors in full. From time immemorial, it has been a common knowledge that within the vast array of human kind, the quest for fulfillment has been the prime goal. From the time of the ancient civilization to the present, the thirst for life has never ended. Of course, this pursuit of happiness is suggested in every system of society whether ancient or modern in character. This notion can be traced in the Purusharthas- cited in the ancient texts. It represents the four-fold means for human existence. Dharma, Artha, Kama and Moksha precisely mean moral behavior, material wellbeing, worldly pleasures and spiritual emancipation or salvation respectively. Each holds equal place in human score of realism to strive for happiness. Dharma encompasses law in the widest sense. Every individual is guided by Dharma. Respect for Dharma ensures society's protection while its offence degenerates it. Similarly, with the state, material development and welfare of the state or national interest is the principal aim. There must be a balance between the adoption of moral values while speaking for material fulfilment. Therefore, the policy makers have to take that into their consideration when worthwhile policies are to be framed.

Although the state of war and peace, order and disorder or gain and loss, may be seen as harmful to the overall order of the global world, it creates a significant shift of power which will benefit some at the cost of others. With a deeper dive into Kautilya's seminal work, especially his magnum opus, Arthashastra, we will note significant implications for

the newer lexicon, that of Upayas, Shadgunyas, Prakriti, statecraft, diplomacy, military strategy, intelligence etc. The text serves as a guide for leaders and policy makers to highlight strategies they can engage with to ensure order, stability, and the welfare of the state and its subjects.

Aim

The aim of the study is to explore how the ancient Indian treatise the Arthashastra can offer a remarkable insight into the modern hybrid warfare strategies. It tends to have a comparative analysis of strategies outlined in the Arthashastra with contemporary military methods identifying parallels, differences and ways to modify for greater benefit. It seeks to examine how by revisiting Arthashastra, multi domain conflicts related to land, sea, air and cyber information can be tackled effectively.

Methodology

The study relies on the secondary source of data collection to gather extensive information. A variety of academic sources were consulted including books, published research papers and scholarly journals. The study's reliance on secondary sources of data collection shows that information presented is of well-established knowledge.

We followed ethical considerations during data collection and analysis. All sources are reliable and trustworthy. We also ensured that we have properly cited all sources used in the study.

Background

The idea of warfare presents a challenge, in today's security landscape where the boundaries between traditional and unconventional strategies have become increasingly vague. World politics involves a competition for asserting dominance. Warfare is not limited to physical aspects anymore; it extends beyond the battlefield. Battles, now fought, encompass elements like statecraft, power dynamics, diplomacy, information gathering and social engineering that have been playing key roles in warfare scenarios.

The 21st century has seen a rise in conflicts and their impacts on society as a whole as per the Realist school of thought that suggests international politics is heavily influenced by warfare with states striving to protect their national interests through the use of power. Even thinkers like Locke and Machiavelli in certain situations have termed adherence to war as crucial wherever it is necessary. Throughout history various forms of warfare have been present. Its significance has increased due to evolving characteristics and current trends. The esteemed political strategist, Carl Von Clausewitz once mentioned that war is simply an extension of politics, by the other means signifying the increasing complexity in the relationship, between politics and warfare that demands consideration from policy and strategic professionals as the approach has evolved over time. Hybrid warfare has become a tactic, for world powers because it allows them to achieve their strategic goals without resort, to full scale warfare often aiming to sow confusion, ambiguity and uncertainty to hinder adversaries' effective responses.

Hybrid warfare involves tactics and strategies that combine both "regular" and "irregular" aspects of military and non-military (political etc.) operations. It involves new forms of warfare which have many aspects and whose nature is a departure from a strict definition of War. In this case, the following are the core characteristics of hybrid warfare:

1. Conventional Armed Forces

It includes the use of traditional military units and capabilities such as: armies, air forces, naval forces and special forces. When fighting on land, air and sea, conventional forces utilize weapons such as tanks, airplanes, ships, and other modern military weapons, and where-suitable, use drones and precision-guided munitions. This is supported with cyber-war-complex associated systems.

2. Irregular Armed Forces

Their purpose is to conduct political violence through coordinating the efforts of non-state and state authority's armed insurrections, militants, mercenaries etc. It also includes activities like sharp shocks, delays, and interruptions of enemy's movements and supply trains, including guerrilla fighting and shocking sabotage etc.

3. Cyber Warfare

This includes leveraging IT vulnerabilities to gain access, assuage intra-network systems, modify websites, infect systems with malware or deploy similar authorized/non-authorized activities against critical assets and communications etc. They can consist of cyber offences directed at civilian and military facilities, including power grids, banks or army commandos and control centers.

4. Information Warfare

This includes the use of propaganda, disinformation and psychological operations to manage people's perceptions, create ambiguity, or lower opponents' willpower. It can also employ dirtying the name of the enemy which induces one to use certain online sites or detect their malfeasance in the media which in turn influences domestic and international audiences.

5. Political and Diplomatic Pressure

It includes employment of political pressure, civilization,

and partnerships to disable or seclude an enemy, providing limited military or intelligence support to rebellious elements or anti-government forces within an adversary state.

6. Economic Warfare

It involves controlling violence through various means, such as threats, politics, and economic limitation to the target state. It can also employ exerting economic control over states or decision making through non-military threats to weaken an adversary's economy.

7. Proxy Warfare

It means supporting indigenous people, rebels, or political groups/lobbies within the enemy state through exile, money, weapons, information, and the like and also engaging in hostilities through other people to maintain an explanation.

8. Terrorism

In the pursuit of political objectives, it employs tactics through violence, assassinations, terrorism such as bombings, murders, and hostageing that aims at causing fear, social disruption and disorder and creating political instability.

9. Lawfare

This is the employment of legal frameworks, international law, or human rights law to delegitimize or inhibit the adversary's actions. Also includes suing governments in international bodies or legal arguments that are aimed at military changes or economic sanctions.

10. Cultural and Social Manipulation

This is the use of social cleavages such as ethnic, religious, or ideological provocations to instigate internal conflict or insurrection. It also includes leveraging soft power tools, such as cultural diplomacy, media and international organizations to influence and affect the narrative.

Kautilya's Timeless Wisdom on Hybrid Warfare

Kautilya, known as one of the greatest strategists in history, outlined principles of governance that still resonate with modern-day leaders. His teachings in the Arthashastra are relevant in today's hybrid warfare, which mixes traditional military tactics with unconventional methods of gaining control. Although Kautilya lived in ancient times, the core of his ideas has always been part of warfare. While he didn't specifically address cyber issues, his insights reveal a deep understanding of the complexities of conflict.

Gudha Yuddha (Silent War)

Gudha Yuddha, often referred to as "silent war", is about stealth rather than open confrontation. In the Arthashastra, Kautilya emphasizes espionage and covert actions as key strategies. Spies play a crucial role in disrupting enemy plans, spreading disinformation, and manipulating outcomes. By studying the psychology of the opponent, leaders can craft precise and effective strategies. This type of warfare aligns closely with modern information warfare and cyber espionage, where intelligence gathering is critical for success.

Example: China's Gray zone tactics in the South China Sea by employing non-military assets like its Maritime Militia to assert territorial claims in the region.

Kuta Yuddha (Deceptive Warfare)

Kuta Yuddha involves using psychological tactics, such as surprise raids and deceit, to undermine the enemy without direct confrontation. It's about using trickery and manipulation to gain the upper hand, achieving victory by creating instability within the enemy's ranks. This form of warfare resembles modern psychological operations, where deception and disruption are key tools for defeating stronger opponents.

Example: According to certain reports in 2020, China used misinformation to create confusion and fear regarding Taiwan to de-stabilize latter's internal security and political stability.

Maya Yuddha (Warfare by Illusion)

Kautilya's strategy here is to mislead the enemy through disinformation, rumors, and propaganda, without engaging in open battle. The idea is to influence the opponent's decision making and create confusion. This concept is quite similar to today's cyber-attacks, disinformation campaigns, and social engineering, where false information is used to destabilize an opponent without the need for direct confrontation.

Example: North Korea often uses military parades exaggerated claims about missile sufficiency and strategic missile launches to create an illusion that it has more advanced military capabilities than it actually does.

Alliances – Sama, Dama, Danda, and Bheda

Kautilya also laid out a clear approach to weakening opponents through alliances and diplomacy. Leaders can.

- Negotiate peacefully while subtly threatening military action.
- Apply economic pressure through sanctions or currency manipulation.
- Use military force, or just the threat of it, to push political agendas.
- Foster confusion and distrust among rivals to weaken them from within.

These strategies closely resemble modern hybrid warfare, where a mix of soft power (diplomacy and economic influence) and hard power (military strength) is used to shape global politics.

Asymmetric Warfare

Asymmetric warfare is all about using unconventional methods against a stronger foe. Guerrilla tactics, hit-and-run strategies, and attacking vulnerable spots are employed to avoid direct confrontation and minimize losses. This approach differs from traditional warfare, where battles were typically fought between equally matched armies.

Example: The Conflict between Israel and Hamas in Gaza. Israel as a state exerts superiority through military, intelligence and technology whereas Hamas as a non-state actor uses guerrilla tactics and asymmetrical strategies such as tunnel networks and civilian shields showing it's rudimentary and irregular warfare methods.

Leadership

Kautilya provides a complex view on leadership, particularly the role of manipulation in both governance and warfare. He acknowledges that while ethical principles are

important, manipulation can be a powerful tool for achieving strategic goals. Leaders can shape public opinion by controlling information—highlighting favorable news and suppressing unfavorable details. Deception, such as using false intelligence or diversionary tactics, can give leaders a tactical advantage over their opponents, allowing them to strike unexpectedly. Additionally, psychological warfare, by spreading fear and uncertainty, can demoralize an enemy and weaken their will to fight. However, overreliance on manipulation can backfire, leading to a loss of credibility and trust.

Example: Leaders like Vladimir Putin and Xi Jinping have implemented Hybrid Warfare Strategies as a part of broader geo-political approach.

Internal Destabilization

Kautilya's teachings also advocate for undermining a rival state by creating internal strife. By fostering distrust, inciting rebellion, and exploiting societal divisions, a leader can weaken the enemy from within. This concept mirrors the modern use of cyber tools and misinformation to stir unrest, fuel protests, and deepen political polarization in other nations.

Example: Iran has used proxy groups such as Hezbollah in Lebanon, the Houthi rebels in Yemen and Shia militias in Iraq to influence and de-stabilize regional governments.

Economic Warfare

Kautilya understood that political goals could often be achieved through economic control. He emphasized maintaining wealth and economic stability as key to preserving power. Disrupting an enemy's access to resources or trade routes can weaken them significantly. Economic manipulation, such as sanctions, tariffs, quotas or trade restrictions, can fuel rivalry and destabilize opponents, often playing a decisive role in conflict.

Example: Brexit negotiations, sanctions adopted by EU in response to Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine.

Divide and Conquer

One of Kautilya's most enduring strategies is the concept of dividing opponents to conquer them more easily. By creating divisions in political, social, economic, and even moral structures, a leader can undermine the unity and strength of the opposition, making it much easier to defeat.

Example: The Syrian Civil War which was a result of exploitation of ethnic and religious divisions within the country. Different foreign powers supported different factions paving ways for devastating conflicts.

Cyber Analog

Kautilya's strategies were remarkably ahead of their time. His idea of controlling communication channels to deceive and manipulate enemies applies directly to today's digital era. In modern cyberspace, the ability to control networks and information systems is crucial to exercising influence, just as it was in ancient empires.

Example: NotPetya Attack of 2017. It was a state sponsored cyberattack Which targeted the Ukraine's financial sector, government system, infrastructure, energy grid and many more through the NotPetya malware which globally caused \$10 Billion estimated damage.

Conclusion

In the past few decades, warfare has dramatically evolved, and millions of pages have been written to document this transformation. One of the most important changes has been the rise of hybrid warfare, a modern form of conflict that blends traditional military tactics with unconventional strategies. This shift in warfare has redefined power dynamics at multiple levels, forcing militaries around the world to adapt to a far more complex battlefield. Mastering hybrid

warfare has become essential for any nation or military force striving to maintain or expand its influence. The rise of hybrid tactics has unleashed a series of powerful, often contradictory forces, which have steadily gained momentum and altered the very essence of how wars are fought and won.

What makes hybrid warfare particularly dangerous is its ability to blur the lines between peace and war, making it difficult for nations to clearly define when they are being attacked. This type of conflict is characterized by its fluidity, constantly shifting tactics, and the integration of non-military methods to destabilize or weaken an opponent. In conducting this study, the initial focus was on understanding the various definitions and interpretations of hybrid warfare, to grasp the full scope of this evolving threat. Experts across military, academic, and strategic fields all offer different perspectives on what hybrid warfare entails, but there is a consensus that it represents a profound shift from traditional, clear cut combat scenarios. Through a deep analysis of these interpretations, key components were identified: hybrid warfare strategically combines conventional military forces with irregular methods such as guerrilla tactics, cyber warfare, propaganda, and economic manipulation to achieve specific political or military goals.

A particularly enlightening aspect of this research was the exploration of ancient warfare strategies found in Kautilya's Arthashastra a text written over two millennia ago that remains surprisingly relevant today. His work in the Arthashastra provides a comprehensive guide to statecraft, diplomacy, and warfare, emphasizing the importance of deception, espionage, and alliances.

By synthesizing the principles of hybrid warfare with the ancient wisdom contained in the Arthashastra, this research established a strong and direct connection between the two. One of the key findings of this research is that the Arthashastra provides a timeless set of principles that can be adapted to counter the complex and multifaceted threats posed by hybrid warfare. While the nature of war has changed dramatically since Kautilya's time, the fundamental tactics remain highly effective. His strategies for destabilizing rivals, using economic power to gain political leverage, and employing psychological warfare are just as relevant in the modern digital era as they were in ancient times.

The strategies outlined in this ancient text have withstood the test of time, proving adaptable to the ever-changing nature of warfare. As hybrid warfare continues to evolve—blending cyber operations, psychological manipulation, and traditional military power—the insights from the Arthashastra provide a robust framework for developing counter-strategies. By incorporating Kautilya's teachings into modern military and diplomatic strategies, nations can navigate the complexities of hybrid warfare and protect their

interests in an increasingly interconnected and unpredictable world.

References

1. Callado J. *Unveiling the Strategic Kautilya*. Retrieved, 2023. from LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/unveiling-strategic-mind-kautilya-exploring-indirect-approach-jayme>
2. Dhakras AA. *Relevance of Kautilya' Arthashastra concerning Contemporary Hybrid Warfare*. Retrieved from Electronic Journal of Social Strategic Studies, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.47362/EJSS.2024.5503>
3. Gautam CP. *nd Kautilya' Arthashastra and its Relevance to Contemporary Strategic Studies*. Retrieved from United Service Institution of India, 2024. <https://www.usiofindia.org/publication-journal/kautilyas-arthashastra-and-its-relevance-to-contemporary-strategic-studies.html>
4. IAS D. *Hybrid Warfare*. Retrieved from Drishti IAS, 2021. <https://www.drishtias.com/mains-practice-question/question-788>
5. Pillai R. *Chanakya and the Art of War*. Gurgaon, India. Penguin Random House. Retrieved August 2024
6. Boesche, R. 2003, January. Kautilya's Arthashastra on War and Diplomacy in Ancient India. *The Journal of Military History*, 2019:67:9-37. Retrieved January 2024
7. Kautilya. *Arthashastra*. New Delhi. Fingerprint publications, 2021.