



Breaking boundaries in the emergence of the new woman in Anita Desai's *Clear Light of Day* and Margaret Atwood's *the edible woman*

Dedun Nirmabahen Vaksibhai¹, Dr. Ruchi Thakar²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of English, Madhav University, Rajasthan, India

² Research Supervisor, Department of English, Madhav University, Rajasthan, India

Abstract

This paper delves into the literary construct of the "New Woman" through a comparative analysis of Anita Desai's *Clear Light of Day* and Margaret Atwood's *The Edible Woman*. The New Woman is an emblem of autonomy, individuality, and resistance against oppressive societal norms. In these two novels, Desai and Atwood portray female protagonists navigating the complexities of gender roles and cultural expectations in their respective societies—postcolonial India and second-wave feminist Canada. While Bim and Marian, the central characters of each novel, emerge as symbols of female agency, they each struggle to redefine themselves within frameworks that seek to constrain their identities. This study highlights the thematic intersections of autonomy, psychological resistance, social conformity, and the ongoing battle for self-definition. By examining the protagonists' personal growth and societal interaction, the paper explores the shared yet distinct journeys of self-liberation within these two different cultural backdrops.

Keywords: New woman, feminism, autonomy, identity, Desai, Atwood, gender roles, postcolonialism, resistance, self-definition, feminism in literature

Introduction

The "New Woman" emerged in the late 19th and early 20th centuries as a literary and cultural figure embodying a radical shift in how women were perceived and how they saw themselves. This figure was characterized by independence, intellectualism, and the rejection of traditional gender roles, specifically marriage and motherhood. In literature, the New Woman's rise can be traced through novels that focus on women's psychological development and personal liberation.

Both Anita Desai and Margaret Atwood, though writing in vastly different socio-cultural contexts—Desai in postcolonial India and Atwood in post-industrial Canada—share a similar interest in exploring the internal worlds of women who resist societal impositions. In their novels *Clear Light of Day* and *The Edible Woman*, Desai and Atwood construct female protagonists who not only confront traditional gender roles but also seek to redefine their existence on their own terms. This paper will explore how these authors portray the transformation of their central female characters from passive subjects to self-aware individuals in conflict with societal expectations. Through a detailed comparative analysis, the paper will examine how Desai's Bim and Atwood's Marian engage with the themes of autonomy, identity, and resistance, as well as how their narrative journeys reflect the broader feminist discourses of their respective time periods.

Cultural and Social Contexts

Desai's India

In *Clear Light of Day*, Anita Desai creates a vivid portrait of postcolonial Indian society, where traditional family structures dominate and women are often relegated to domestic roles. The society depicted in the novel is deeply rooted in patriarchal values, with women's roles primarily defined by their relationships to men—whether as wives, mothers, or daughters. In this context, women's emotional

and intellectual development is frequently stifled, as their lives are largely confined to the private sphere, with little opportunity for personal growth or independence. Desai's portrayal of this society underscores the restrictions placed upon women during the postcolonial period, where traditional cultural expectations shaped their identities and their aspirations.

In such a society, women are often expected to uphold family honor and social conformity, even at the cost of their personal desires. Bim, the protagonist, chooses to remain unmarried and live independently, rejecting the conventional life path that is expected of women. Her decision to forgo marriage and family makes her an anomaly in her conservative world, where the ideal woman is defined by her ability to nurture a family and submit to the control of a patriarchal system. Bim's intellectual and emotional independence, however, is viewed as unnatural and rebellious, not only by her family but also by the broader societal framework. She is often seen as a failure by her relatives because she refuses to conform to traditional gender norms, which place the fulfillment of marital and maternal roles above all else.

Bim's struggle is one of resistance against the societal expectations that seek to define her identity solely through marriage and motherhood. While her independence allows her to gain a sense of autonomy and self-awareness, it also isolates her from the rest of her family, who view her as an outsider to the familial structure. Desai uses Bim's character to critique the cultural repression of women in India, highlighting the tension between individual desires and societal expectations. Bim's rejection of marriage is not just a personal choice but a form of resistance against the rigid norms that dictate how women should live their lives. Through Bim's journey, Desai explores the emotional cost of non-conformity and the deep inner conflict that arises when an individual dares to break free from cultural traditions.

Atwood's Canada

In contrast, *The Edible Woman* by Margaret Atwood is set in post-industrial Canada during the second-wave feminist movement, which offers a starkly different socio-cultural context. This period in Canadian history saw the rise of consumer culture, which increasingly commodified both women and men, reducing them to their roles as consumers or objects of desire. Atwood's novel critiques this cultural trend, depicting a society where women are expected to conform to traditional roles of girlfriend, fiancée, and eventually wife, all of which reinforce their status as secondary to men. The consumerist framework encourages women to see themselves as products, shaped by societal expectations and external judgments.

Marian, the protagonist, is a product tester at a consumer goods company, which places her in a position where she constantly evaluates products designed for women, further reinforcing the idea that women are defined by their consumption habits. Throughout the novel, Marian experiences a growing sense of alienation as she becomes more aware of her own objectification. Her psychological breakdown symbolizes the internal conflict faced by many women in the post-industrial society, where their identities are increasingly shaped by consumer culture rather than personal desire or autonomy. Marian's initial conformity to the roles expected of her—being the dutiful fiancée and later the docile bride—is contrasted with her eventual rejection of these roles as she comes to realize the emptiness of the societal ideals she has been following.

The rise of feminism in Atwood's Canada plays a crucial role in Marian's awakening. As the feminist movement gained traction during the 1960s and 1970s, women were beginning to question the roles assigned to them by society and to demand the freedom to define themselves outside of traditional gender constraints. Marian's gradual rejection of her consumerist identity is influenced by the feminist discourse of the time, which provides her with the vocabulary and ideological tools to understand her alienation. Atwood's depiction of Marian's internal struggle reflects the larger societal changes occurring in Canada, where women were beginning to demand greater autonomy and recognition beyond their roles as wives and mothers. Marian's eventual defiance—symbolized in her rejection of the idealized image of the perfect woman—marks a turning point in her character's journey and reflects Atwood's broader critique of the pressures faced by women in a commodified society.

Atwood's use of satire, irony, and psychological depth in her portrayal of Marian's breakdown underscores the personal and social costs of living in a consumer-driven culture. By highlighting Marian's psychological resistance, Atwood critiques the way women are reduced to mere objects in the eyes of both the consumer market and the patriarchal system that sustains it. Marian's rejection of the roles imposed upon her body and identity mirrors the broader feminist struggle of the time, where women were increasingly questioning and resisting the societal pressures that sought to define them according to narrow, traditional gender norms.

Character Analysis

Bim in *Clear Light of Day*

Bim, the protagonist of Anita Desai's *Clear Light of Day*, occupies a distinctive position in the narrative as an

unmarried, intellectual woman. Her decision to remain single and devoted to her work and family, rather than pursue marriage and motherhood, sets her apart from traditional female characters. In a society where women are expected to find fulfillment through domestic roles, Bim's choice is a bold rejection of the prescribed norms. As an academic, artist, and caregiver, Bim refuses to conform to traditional femininity. She does not fit the idealized mold of the docile wife and mother, instead carving out a space for herself where intellectual and emotional autonomy are prioritized.

Bim's autonomy is primarily expressed through her emotional and intellectual resilience. She faces immense societal and familial pressures to conform—pressures rooted in her family's expectations and the broader expectations of Indian society. While her siblings, particularly her sister Tara, seek conventional roles in marriage and motherhood, Bim stands firm in her decision to remain unmarried, despite the negative judgments she faces from others. Her emotional independence is apparent in her ability to care for her family, particularly her brother, Baba, without expecting anything in return. This caretaker role allows her to define her sense of self, apart from societal norms.

However, Bim's journey is not without conflict. Her refusal to marry, coupled with her reluctance to reconcile with her past, creates a sense of emotional isolation. Her relationship with her family members, especially Tara, becomes strained as the generational and ideological divide between them grows. Bim's character embodies the psychological struggle of the "New Woman," as she must navigate the tension between her desires for independence and the legacy of colonialism that has shaped her identity. This duality—Bim's desire for personal liberation while grappling with familial and societal expectations—defines her character. She ultimately seeks self-definition not through the conventional roles assigned to women, but through cultivating a life that is entirely her own.

Marian in *The Edible Woman*

Marian, the protagonist of Margaret Atwood's *The Edible Woman*, undergoes a dramatic transformation that is central to the novel's feminist critique. In the beginning, Marian represents the ideal of the dutiful fiancée—compliant and passive, she allows herself to be shaped by the desires and expectations of her fiancé, Peter. She embodies the traditional roles of girlfriend, fiancée, and soon-to-be wife, with little regard for her own desires or identity. Marian's self-worth is largely dictated by her relationship with Peter, and she tends to define herself through the lens of this external relationship, rather than through her own self-perception.

However, as the novel progresses, Marian begins to experience a profound sense of alienation from her own body and identity. This internal conflict reaches a pivotal moment when Marian refuses to eat the cake made in her image. The act of rejecting the cake, which is a literal representation of her own image as consumed and commodified, symbolizes Marian's rejection of the roles that society, and particularly Peter, have placed upon her. This symbolic rebellion marks a turning point in Marian's journey—a rejection of the stereotypical roles imposed on women and a growing desire for self-authenticity.

Marian's journey toward autonomy is fraught with psychological complexities. While she recognizes her

alienation, she struggles to break free from her internalized self-objectification. Her psychological breakdown, culminating in her refusal to conform to the expectations surrounding her, is a powerful commentary on the impact of societal pressures. Atwood explores the emotional and mental toll of being forced into predetermined roles, and how women must grapple with the conflict between their true selves and the personas they are expected to perform. Marian's story represents the psychological depth of the feminist struggle—how the quest for self-definition can often be a painful and complex process.

Themes of Resistance and Identity

Both Bim and Marian, though living in vastly different cultural contexts, share a common journey of resistance against traditional femininity. Their paths are marked by a refusal to accept the roles that society seeks to impose on them, and both characters find strength in rejecting societal expectations, albeit in different ways.

Bim's resistance is quieter and more introspective. She does not make overt gestures of rebellion, but her intellectual and emotional independence allows her to carve out a space for herself within the family. Her resistance is expressed through her choice to remain unmarried and focus on her own self-development. Bim's autonomy stems from a deep understanding of herself and the world around her, and her resistance is rooted in her refusal to conform to the roles of wife and mother that are expected of her.

In contrast, Marian's resistance is more physical and visceral. Her refusal to eat the cake made in her image symbolizes her rejection of the consumption of her own identity and the commodification of women. Marian's body becomes the battleground for her rebellion, and her psychological breakdown illustrates the intense alienation that results from being forced into a role that is not authentically hers. Unlike Bim, Marian's resistance is marked by a personal crisis—her struggle to reconnect with her true self in a society that demands she suppress her identity to fulfill the role of the perfect wife.

Despite the differences in their methods of resistance, both Bim and Marian ultimately seek autonomy and self-definition. For Bim, this means rejecting the expectations of marriage and motherhood to create a life of her own. For Marian, it means rejecting the traditional roles of wife and consumer to reclaim her body and sense of self. Both characters embody the core ideals of the "New Woman"—women who reject patriarchal structures and seek to define themselves outside of the limitations placed upon them by society.

Narrative Techniques

Desai's Introspective Prose

Anita Desai's writing style in *Clear Light of Day* is deeply introspective, providing an intimate portrayal of her characters' inner worlds. Desai's narrative approach focuses heavily on psychological depth, allowing readers to engage with Bim's emotional and intellectual struggles in a deeply personal way. Through introspective prose, Desai unveils the complexities of Bim's thoughts and memories, enabling a rich exploration of her resistance to societal norms. The novel's slow, reflective pace mirrors Bim's own process of self-discovery and acceptance, inviting readers to explore the tension between individual desire and societal expectation.

Desai's prose is often lyrical and evocative, capturing the emotional resonance of Bim's journey. This introspective style allows the novel to delve deeply into Bim's psyche, making her emotional and intellectual resistance to her prescribed role in society all the more powerful. Desai's narrative technique mirrors Bim's quiet rebellion, reflecting her internal conflict and her eventual acceptance of her identity as a woman who exists beyond the constraints of traditional femininity.

Atwood's Irony and Satire

Margaret Atwood, on the other hand, employs irony and satire in *The Edible Woman* to critique the commodification of women and the societal pressures that shape their identities. Atwood's narrative style shifts from third-person to first-person narration, a transition that mirrors Marian's growing self-awareness and personal awakening. The first-person perspective allows readers to witness Marian's inner turmoil firsthand, as she grapples with her objectification and alienation. This shift also emphasizes Marian's growing awareness of her subjectivity—she begins to see herself not just as an object in the world but as a subject capable of making choices and defining her own identity.

Atwood's use of dark humor and irony enhances the novel's critique of societal norms. Marian's psychological breakdown is portrayed with absurdity and humor, reflecting the absurdity of the roles women are expected to play. Atwood's satirical approach underscores the tensions between societal expectations and personal autonomy, highlighting the contradictions inherent in a culture that demands women conform to idealized images of femininity. Through irony and satire, Atwood critiques the commodification of women, showing how societal pressures lead to alienation and psychological crisis.

Conclusion

Both Anita Desai and Margaret Atwood construct their female protagonists—Bim and Marian—as embodiments of the New Woman who seeks to redefine her identity outside of the confines of traditional gender roles. While Desai's *Clear Light of Day* presents a quiet, intellectual rebellion against patriarchal structures, Atwood's *The Edible Woman* portrays a more overt, psychological revolt against consumerist and patriarchal demands. Despite the differing cultural landscapes of postcolonial India and second-wave feminist Canada, both novels demonstrate that the journey toward self-definition for women requires resistance, autonomy, and a continual confrontation with the boundaries set by society.

Both authors not only challenge the status quo but also underscore the universality of women's struggles for selfhood across diverse contexts. By situating their protagonists within distinct yet equally oppressive cultural frameworks, Desai and Atwood reveal how deeply entrenched gender norms operate globally, albeit in different forms. Their novels emphasize that personal liberation is not a singular event but a layered process involving inner conflict, societal pushback, and evolving consciousness. Through Bim and Marian, the texts affirm that the New Woman is not a fixed archetype but a dynamic figure who continues to evolve in response to the shifting terrain of gender, culture, and power.

References

1. Atwood M. *The edible woman*. Toronto, Canada: McClelland Stewart, 1969.
2. Beauvoir S de. *The second sex* C. Borde S. Malovany-Chevallier, Trans. New York, NY: Vintage Books. Original work published 1949, 2011.
3. Butler J. *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. New York, NY: Routledge, 1990.
4. Desai A. *Clear light of day*. New Delhi, India: Allied Publishers, 1980.
5. Friedan B. *The feminine mystique*. New York, NY: W.W. Norton Company, 1963.
6. Gilbert SM, Gubar S. *The madwoman in the attic: The woman writer and the nineteenth-century literary imagination*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2000.
7. Moi T. *Sexual/textual politics: Feminist literary theory*. London, UK: Routledge, 2002.
8. Plain G, Sellers S. Eds. *A history of feminist literary criticism*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
9. Showalter E. *A literature of their own: British women novelists from Brontë to Lessing*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1977.
10. Spivak GC. *Can the subaltern speak?* In C. Nelson L. Grossberg Eds, *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press, 1988, 271–313.
11. Tyson L. *Critical theory today: A user-friendly guide* 3rd ed. New York, NY: Routledge, 2014.
12. Woolf V. *A room of one's own*. London, UK: Hogarth Press, 1929.