International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Research
www.socialsciencejournal.in
ISSN: 2455-2070
Received: 28-09-2025, Accepted: 28-10-2025, Published: 12-11-2025
Volume 11, Issue 6, 2025, Page No. 32-37

When memory bleeds: The continuum of pain and empowerment in Kamala Das's My Story and her poetry

Dr. Ruchi Thakar
Associate Professor & Director, Department of English, IQAC, Madhav University, Rajasthan, India

Abstract
Kamala Das (1934–2009) occupies a pivotal position in the evolution of Indian English literature as a poet whose work fuses personal trauma with cultural critique. Her autobiography My Story (1976) and her poetry collections such as Summer in Calcutta (1965) and The Descendants (1967) dramatize the fragmentation of the female self within patriarchal structures while simultaneously forging pathways of empowerment through confessional expression. This article examines the dynamic interplay between pain and power in Das's oeuvre through the theoretical lenses of trauma studies, feminist psychoanalysis, and postcolonial theory. Memory in her work functions not merely as a repository of suffering but as an active process of resistance, articulation, and re-creation of identity. By tracing how Das converts intimate wounds into linguistic agency, this study argues that her "bleeding memory" becomes the very source of her aesthetic and political selfhood. The paper thus re-positions Das as a writer who transforms confession into subversion and autobiography into an instrument of feminist emancipation.

Keywords: Kamala Das, My Story, trauma, memory, empowerment, confessional poetry, feminism, Indian english literature, psychoanalysis, postcolonial identity

Introduction

The literary imagination of Kamala Das presents one of the most complex engagements with the female self in modern Indian English writing. Emerging during a period when Indian women writers were expected to maintain decorum and modesty, Das's voice unsettled the moral and aesthetic conventions of her milieu. Her writing—intensely personal, self-revelatory, and linguistically daring—projects a psyche negotiating multiple oppressions: gendered subjugation, cultural orthodoxy, and the alienation inherent in postcolonial subjectivity (Iyengar, 1985). In My Story and her major poetic works, the act of writing itself becomes therapeutic, a textual bleeding that converts silence into speech. Das's articulation of pain challenges the representational boundaries of Indian English poetry, establishing a new idiom of womanhood grounded in honesty, vulnerability, and defiance.

From a theoretical perspective, Das's corpus invites interpretation through the intersecting frameworks of feminist psychoanalysis and trauma theory. Her autobiographical voice, though deeply individual, mirrors what Cathy Caruth (1996) [2] terms the "belatedness of trauma"—a condition in which the subject relives the past through fragmented recollection. Das's repeated returns to childhood memory, marital confinement, and unfulfilled desire reveal a psyche perpetually rewriting its wounds. Simultaneously, her candid engagement with sexuality and selfhood resonates with Julia Kristeva's (1982) [12] notion of the abject feminine: the self that revolts against patriarchal definitions of purity while confronting its own interior conflicts. In this sense, Das's writing embodies the paradox of confession—it exposes pain but also reclaims agency through exposure.

The historical context of Das's work amplifies its radicalism. Writing in post-Independence India, a nation still negotiating colonial residues in language, gender, and morality, she transformed English—a colonial tongue—into a medium of personal emancipation. By foregrounding the female body and emotional interiority, Das positioned herself against the nationalist literary tradition that privileged collective ideals over individual experience. Her voice was not only feminist but also postcolonial in its reclamation of the right to speak in one's own idiom. Critics such as Spivak (1988) [20] have questioned whether the "subaltern woman" can truly speak within patriarchal discourse; Das's answer, articulated through her confessional poetics, is a resounding affirmation that speech itself can be an act of insurrection.

The title of this study, "When Memory Bleeds," encapsulates the central metaphor governing Das's aesthetics—the idea that memory, though painful, is vital to the process of becoming. Her poetry and autobiography are saturated with images of blood, wounds, and scars, which symbolically link the physical and psychological dimensions of trauma. Yet these images are never static; they pulse with renewal. Through the act of recollection, Das constructs a continuum where suffering is neither denied nor glorified but integrated into a larger narrative of survival. This continuum of pain and empowerment situates her among global confessional writers such as Sylvia Plath and Adrienne Rich, while retaining an unmistakably Indian cultural texture rooted in the politics of gender and language.

Accordingly, this paper pursues three interrelated objectives. First, it examines how Das's autobiographical discourse in My Story and selected poems manifests the dynamics of trauma and recollection. Second, it analyzes the role of the female body as a repository of both pain and resistance within her poetic imagination. Third, it explores how memory, as a narrative device, mediates between victimhood and agency, thereby converting personal suffering into collective feminist testimony. The discussion proceeds from a theoretical grounding in confessional poetics and trauma studies toward a close reading of textual

evidence, culminating in an assessment of how Das transforms her private wounds into a universal expression of empowerment.

Theoretical Framework and Methodology

The interpretive framework of this study is constructed at the intersection of trauma theory, feminist psychoanalysis, and postcolonial literary criticism. Together, these frameworks enable a multi-dimensional reading of Kamala Das's My Story and her poetry, allowing us to trace how memory operates simultaneously as a symptom of psychic rupture and as a strategy of empowerment. The methodological approach is primarily qualitative and interpretive, grounded in close textual analysis of Das's language, imagery, and self-representation within their cultural and historical contexts.

Trauma Theory and the Language of Repetition

Modern trauma theory, especially as articulated by Cathy Caruth (1996) [2] and Dori Laub (1992) [13], provides the foundation for understanding Das's act of writing as a return to the scene of psychic injury. Caruth (1996) [2] defines trauma as an "unclaimed experience," a wound that resists integration into conscious narrative but re-emerges belatedly through involuntary memory. Das's texts repeatedly demonstrate this "belatedness." Her recollections of childhood neglect, early marriage, and emotional isolation are not linear memories but fragmented reconstructions that approximate what Freud called "Nachträglichkeit" or deferred action (Freud, 1957/1917) [8]. Each poem and prose fragment become an echo chamber in which the past reverberates through the present.

Trauma theory also clarifies the performative nature of Das's confession. The repetition of pain through writing does not merely relive trauma; it attempts to domesticate it within language. As Laub (1992) [13] observes, testimony transforms the survivor from a passive bearer of trauma into an active witness. Similarly, Das's recollective writing converts the ineffable into the sayable, thus shifting her subject position from victimhood to agency. The bleeding of memory, therefore, is not pathological but productive—it signifies the act of turning suffering into speech.

Feminist Psychoanalysis and the Abject Self

Feminist psychoanalytic theory—particularly the work of Julia Kristeva, Hélène Cixous, and Luce Irigaray—provides another essential lens for this study. Kristeva's (1982) [12] concept of the "abject" describes that which disturbs identity and social order, the element that must be expelled to preserve cultural boundaries. Das's frank engagement with sexuality, bodily fluids, and emotional vulnerability positions her precisely within this space of abjection. The recurring metaphors of blood, childbirth, and bodily confinement in her poetry operate as symbolic transgressions of patriarchal purity codes. Her articulation of the body becomes a linguistic revolt against the repression of female desire.

Cixous's (1976) [3] notion of écriture féminine—a writing of the body—further illuminates Das's stylistic strategies. Das inscribes the rhythms of female experience into the texture of her language: its repetition, breathlessness, and oscillation between confession and concealment mimic the bodily processes of emotion and pain. Through this poetics of embodiment, Das transforms the female body from a passive object of representation into an active generator of meaning. Feminist psychoanalysis thus allows us to read her autobiographical self as both fragmented and empowered, caught between the wounds of patriarchy and the pleasures of self-expression.

Postcolonial Feminism and the Politics of Language

Postcolonial feminist criticism—drawing on thinkers such as Gayatri Spivak (1988) [20], Chandra Talpade Mohanty (2003) [16], and Leela Gandhi (1998) [9] —adds a crucial socio-political dimension to this analysis. Das wrote in English, a language historically associated with colonial power and male literary elitism. Yet, she appropriated that very language to articulate an indigenous, female subjectivity that defies colonial and patriarchal authority. As Spivak (1988) [20] famously asked, "Can the subaltern speak?"—Das's answer is a radical affirmation: she not only speaks but speaks through the colonizer's language, bending it to her emotional cadences and Malayalam-inflected syntax. The hybridity of her diction exemplifies what Homi Bhabha (1994) [1] calls the "third space" of enunciation, where cultural identity is renegotiated.

Postcolonial feminism also exposes how the personal and political intersect in Das's narratives. Her representation of domestic confinement, marital dissatisfaction, and social ostracism parallels the postcolonial nation's struggle for self-definition. Both the woman and the nation bear the scars of colonial domination and patriarchal control; both must reclaim agency through narrative. Das's confession, therefore, transcends individual autobiography—it becomes an allegory of decolonization and gendered liberation.

Methodology: Close Reading and Thematic Analysis

Methodologically, this research employs close textual reading supplemented by thematic analysis. Primary texts include My Story and selected poems from Summer in Calcutta, The Descendants, and The Old Playhouse and Other Poems. These works are analyzed for recurring motifs of memory, trauma, and self-assertion, as well as for linguistic patterns that reflect emotional fragmentation. Secondary materials—critical essays, theoretical texts, and interviews—are used to contextualize Das's work within broader feminist and postcolonial discourses (Nair, 2023; Showalter, 1977; hooks, 1989) [10, 17, 19].

The study follows a hermeneutic approach emphasizing intertextuality and subjective interpretation rather than empirical quantification. The goal is not to verify hypotheses but to illuminate how Das's narrative of pain is aesthetically structured to produce empowerment. Each textual instance is read as a site where memory functions dialectically—simultaneously recalling subjugation and generating resistance.

Thus, the analytical trajectory proceeds from theoretical grounding to interpretive elaboration. The ensuing sections apply these frameworks to specific texts, demonstrating how Das transforms traumatic memory into poetic self-fashioning. The following part begins this interpretive phase by examining the confessional mode, childhood trauma, and the formation of identity in My Story and her early poetry.

The Confessional Mode, Childhood Trauma, and the Formation of Identity

The Confessional Aesthetic and the Politics of Disclosure

The confessional dimension of Kamala Das's writing cannot be examined merely as autobiography; it must be read as an

act of textual resistance. Das's My Story (1976) [7] scandalized middle-class Indian sensibilities by disclosing intimate experiences of desire, disillusionment, and despair. Yet, as Caruth (1996) [2] reminds us, testimony is not synonymous with exhibitionism: it is a linguistic return to trauma that seeks symbolic mastery. Das's confession therefore performs a therapeutic as well as a political function—it transforms the private wound into public discourse.

The act of confession, particularly for a woman within conservative Indian society, becomes an intervention against both patriarchal and literary silencing. Adrienne Rich (1976) [18] defines the "politics of location" as the necessity of speaking from one's embodied experience; Das exemplifies this principle by situating her poetic voice within her female body and its histories of pleasure and pain. In an Introduction, she proclaims, "I am sinner, I am saint, I am the beloved and the betrayed" (Das, 1965, p. 12) [4]. The oscillation of contradictory self-identities destabilizes the binary moral logic of patriarchy. The poem's declarative syntax performs what Kristeva (1982) [12] terms the "semiotic chora"—a rhythmic, pre-symbolic surge that unsettles the ordered discourse of the symbolic.

Through confession, Das dismantles the myth of female purity. Her poetic persona articulates experiences that transgress social decorum, including sexual desire and marital frustration, not to shock but to claim interpretive authority over her own life. By writing her body into text, she enacts what Cixous (1976) [3] envisioned as écriture féminine, a mode of writing that inscribes female subjectivity through fluid, nonlinear expression. The confessional mode becomes, thus, a space where the repressed self is rewritten and re-experienced through language.

Childhood Trauma and the Fragmented Self

In My Story, Das recounts a childhood marked by emotional neglect and early exposure to patriarchal power structures. The loneliness of her formative year's manifests in the recurring imagery of emptiness—corridors, silent houses, absent parents. Freud's (1957/1917) [8] concept of "repetition compulsion" elucidates her tendency to revisit these scenes; each recollection is both an act of remembering and a compulsion to re-stage the unassimilated event. Das's repeated invocation of childhood loneliness in poems such as "Composition" and "A Hot Noon in Malabar" signals an unconscious desire to bridge temporal distance between the child and the adult self.

In "A Hot Noon in Malabar," the sensory texture of the poem—its oppressive heat, the hum of insects, the faint smell of mangoes—operates as mnemonic triggers. These sensory cues evoke the phenomenology of what Caruth (1996) [2] calls "the event that is experienced too soon, too unexpectedly." The poem reconstructs childhood not as nostalgia but as trauma that lingers in corporeal memory. Das's adult speaker moves through spaces haunted by her younger self; memory here functions less as chronology than as recurrence.

This fragmentation of the self aligns with the psychoanalytic concept of the split subject. The adult narrator of My Story oscillates between the desire to remember and the wish to forget. Her recollection of an early arranged marriage to a much older man reveals the psychic rupture between innocence and premature sexual initiation. "He was my husband and I was his wife," she writes, "but between us there was no laughter, no real union" (Das, 1976, p. 43) [7]. The blunt simplicity of the statement conceals profound psychological injury. The child-bride's entry into marital life functions as what Caruth (1996) [2] would call the "traumatic kernel"—an originary wound that later poetic language attempts to signify but never fully contain.

The continuity between childhood trauma and adult identity is also evident in the poem "The Stone Age," where the speaker reflects on emotional alienation within marriage: "You turn me into a doll of stone / and I watch with a marble smile" (Das, 1967, p. 58) [5]. The metaphor of petrification connotes emotional paralysis, suggesting that the adult woman internalizes the object status imposed upon her since childhood. Feminist critics such as Millett (1970) [15] argue that patriarchy reduces the female subject to an extension of male desire; Das's poetry dramatizes the psychic cost of this reduction. The stone imagery thus functions as both symptom and symbol: it reveals how unprocessed childhood pain solidifies into adult numbness, yet, paradoxically, the articulation of that numbness re-animates subjectivity.

Memory as Repetition and Survival

The interplay between memory and identity in Das's writing exemplifies the paradox of traumatic testimony. Memory, while re-opening wounds, also secures continuity of self. In "My Grandmother's House," one of her most anthologized poems, the speaker laments the loss of ancestral warmth: "There is a house now far away where once I received love… That woman died" (Das, 1965, p. 29) [4]. The spatial metaphor of distance—the house "far away"—symbolizes temporal separation from childhood innocence. Yet the act of verbalizing this distance constitutes a form of survival. As Laub (1992) [13] suggests, the witness reconstructs a sense of identity precisely by narrating what was once unspeakable.

The grandmother's house also functions as a metonym for maternal security and pre-patriarchal belonging. Its decay parallels the erosion of emotional wholeness. Postcolonial theorists such as Bhabha (1994) [1] interpret home as a site of ambivalence—simultaneously comforting and confining. For Das, memory of home oscillates between sanctuary and suffocation. Her repeated return to that memory marks the persistence of what Caruth (1996) [2] calls "the endless circulation of trauma." But the repetition is not static; it becomes creative. By transforming memory into poetry, Das converts trauma into cultural artifact, re-inscribing personal loss into the collective female experience.

Language, Silence, and the Search for Selfhood

Language itself becomes both medium and metaphor of Das's struggle for identity. Writing in English—a language historically charged with colonial and patriarchal authority—she occupies what Bhabha (1994) [1] terms a "hybrid position." Her English is neither British nor purely Indian; it is interlaced with Malayalam idioms, emotional cadences, and syntactic improvisations. This hybridity is not linguistic deficiency but political assertion: it affirms her right to inhabit and reshape a language that once excluded her.

Silence, conversely, represents the failure of articulation imposed by gendered oppression. In My Story, moments of

muteness signify both repression and resistance. The young Kamala's inability to protest against marital rape, for instance, demonstrates the patriarchal conditioning that equates female virtue with obedience. Yet by narrating that very silence, the adult writer restores agency. As hooks (1989) [10] argues, "talking back" transforms subjugation into subjectivity. Das's retrospective narration performs this conversion: she speaks not only for her younger self but for a generation of silenced women.

The dialectic between speech and silence, memory and oblivion, defines the continuum of pain and empowerment that undergirds Das's writing. The confessional mode functions as a dialogic space where the self confronts its fragmented past. Each act of remembering is simultaneously an act of re-creation. The traumatic past, rather than immobilizing the subject, propels her toward articulation. Thus, in Das's literary universe, bleeding memory is not a sign of decay but of vitality—the perpetual reminder that survival depends on the courage to remember.

The Body as Site of Memory and Revolt

The Body as Palimpsest of Trauma

Kamala Das's later poetry increasingly foregrounds the body as a palimpsest—a layered text inscribed with personal and collective histories of subjugation. The body becomes the primary medium through which trauma is registered and contested. In psychoanalytic terms, the body in Das's poetry functions as the soma through which the repressed returns; it is a site of both inscription and resistance. As Kristeva (1982) [12] asserts, the abject body—marked by fluids, pain, and decay—threatens social order because it exposes the instability of cultural boundaries. Das's poetry deliberately inhabits this abject space, transforming bodily excess into language.

In "The Old Playhouse," the speaker addresses her husband in tones of weary defiance:

"You called me wife, / I was taught to break saccharine into your tea / and to offer at the right moment the vitamins." (Das, 1973, p. 15) [6]

Here, the domestic body is reduced to mechanical function—a caretaker devoid of erotic agency. The repetition of domestic acts underscores the routinization of female servitude. Yet the very act of articulation fractures the patriarchal script. By naming her objectification, Das repossesses her body as text. The poem's tone of quiet rebellion mirrors what Irigaray (1985) [11] describes as "speaking in tongues"—a feminine strategy that subverts dominant discourse by echoing it in altered rhythms.

Das's representation of the female body often oscillates between suffering and self-discovery. In "The Freaks," she writes:

"Who can help us who have lived so long / And have failed in love?" (Das, 1965, p. 24) [4]

The poem confronts erotic failure without apology, acknowledging the female body as a terrain of both vulnerability and pleasure. The confessional voice becomes an instrument of reclamation: through acknowledgment of bodily failure, the poet dismantles the moral frameworks that shame female desire. As Cixous (1976) [3] posits, writing the body is inherently political—it inscribes female subjectivity where silence once reigned. Das's poetry therefore marks a transition from bodily victimization to bodily authorship.

Eroticism and the Politics of Empowerment

In Das's poetic universe, eroticism is not merely personal indulgence; it is a form of rebellion against cultural puritanism. Her sensual imagery—often derided by her contemporaries as "shameless"—reconstitutes the female body as an agent of meaning rather than an object of control. The poem "The Looking Glass" exemplifies this radical self-possession:

"Gift him what makes you woman, the scent of / Long hair, the musk of sweat between the breasts, / The warm shock of menstrual blood." (Das, 1965, p. 38) [4]

This passage is a manifesto of corporeal autonomy. The enumeration of bodily elements—the hair, the sweat, the blood—renders the female body visible in its natural, unfiltered state. The imagery defies both colonial prudery and patriarchal aesthetics of purity. The invocation of menstrual blood, traditionally a marker of pollution in patriarchal cultures, becomes a signifier of self-knowledge and vitality. As Kristeva (1982) [12] explains, the abject, when embraced, can be a source of power; by re-signifying menstrual blood as creative substance, Das subverts patriarchal codes of shame.

The erotic, as theorized by Audre Lorde (1984) [14], is a deeply female source of power, a wellspring of creative energy. Das's engagement with eroticism aligns with Lorde's argument that reclaiming pleasure is an act of political resistance. In "The Looking Glass," desire becomes a mirror through which woman recognizes her autonomy. The male lover functions not as master but as witness to her self-assertion. Das reconfigures heterosexual intimacy as an arena of negotiation rather than submission.

This radical erotics also destabilizes colonial hierarchies of representation. The English language, often perceived as the idiom of moral restraint, becomes in Das's hands a vehicle of sensuous expressivity. Her syntax is tactile; her diction, corporeal. This linguistic transgression recalls what Bhabha (1994) [1] describes as "hybridity as subversion"—the act of contaminating colonial discourse with indigenous sensibilities. By filling the colonizer's language with the rhythms of her body, Das decolonizes both language and desire.

The Continuum of Pain and Empowerment

The central paradox of Das's oeuvre lies in her transformation of suffering into selfhood. Pain is not merely endured; it becomes aestheticized and politicized. In My Story, the recollection of betrayal, loneliness, and physical violation often merges with moments of creative clarity. As she reflects: "The writing was my only refuge. I wrote because I had to" (Das, 1976, p. 102) [7]. This compulsion to write echoes Caruth's (1996) [2] conception of trauma as an "unclaimed experience" demanding articulation. Each act of writing thus functions as catharsis and reclamation.

The progression from victimhood to agency is evident in "An Introduction," where the speaker insists:

"I too call myself I." (Das, 1965, p. 14) [4]

The emphatic repetition of "I" signifies the reconstitution of subjectivity through language. The fragmented, bleeding memory of childhood and failed love coalesces into the declarative assertion of existence. Feminist theorists such as Showalter (1977) [19] interpret this reclamation as a hallmark of female literary evolution—from the "feminine" phase of imitation to the "female" phase of self-definition. Das's self-assertive "I" thus marks a historical and epistemic shift in

Indian English poetry: the emergence of a distinctly female voice unmediated by male authority.

The continuum of pain and empowerment in Das's writing also resonates with postcolonial narratives of liberation. Just as the colonized subject transforms oppression into resistance, the female subject transforms trauma into authorship. Both processes involve what Spivak (1988) [20] terms "strategic essentialism"—a temporary consolidation of identity to enable political articulation. Das's invocation of "woman" as collective identity operates within this framework; it is not naïve universalism but strategic reclamation of voice.

Memory as Empowerment: Rewriting the Wound

In Das's late poetry, memory ceases to be merely retrospective; it becomes generative. The poem "The Suicide" epitomizes this evolution. The speaker declares, "I tell you, sea, I have enough courage to die" (Das, 1967, p. 71) [5], only to reaffirm her connection to life moments later. Death here functions as metaphor for transformation—the symbolic death of submissive femininity. The sea, a recurrent motif in her oeuvre, embodies both dissolution and renewal. As Nair (2023) [17] observes, Das's oceanic imagery reflects a cyclical understanding of pain: suffering flows into empowerment, and empowerment, in turn, requires continuous confrontation with pain.

Through memory, Das transforms the private wound into public testimony. The bleeding of memory becomes a generative process—an open wound that speaks. The oscillation between confession and resistance, trauma and transcendence, reveals the dialectical structure of her art. Memory, once a source of paralysis, becomes the locus of re-creation.

Thus, the body, the site of oppression, becomes the site of authorship; language, once an instrument of colonization, becomes a tool of liberation. Das's writing performs what Hélène Cixous (1976) [3] envisioned as the ultimate feminist gesture: the transformation of pain into poetic excess, where the wound writes back.

Conclusion

Kamala Das's literary corpus—My Story and her poetry—constitutes one of the most compelling explorations of the interrelation between memory, trauma, and empowerment in postcolonial women's writing. Her work challenges the gendered and cultural boundaries of both Indian and English literary traditions by transforming the language of confession into a vehicle of resistance. The oscillation between pain and power that structures her narrative mirrors the cyclical process of trauma recovery: remembering, re-experiencing, and re-signifying.

The present study has demonstrated that Das's act of writing functions as both testimony and transformation. Through the lens of trauma theory, her recollections emerge as psychic repetitions that simultaneously re-open and contain the wound. Feminist psychoanalysis reveals that her articulation of bodily experience—particularly of sexual desire, childbirth, and violation—dismantles patriarchal taboos surrounding the female body and voice. Postcolonial feminist perspectives further illuminate how her use of English subverts linguistic hegemony, enabling her to speak as a decolonized, gendered subject. In these ways, Das's work embodies what Spivak (1988) [20] calls "strategic articulation": the tactical assertion of identity within oppressive structures.

Moreover, Das's literary project is not confined to individual emancipation; it extends toward a collective reclamation of womanhood. By writing "I," she writes "we." Her personal narrative resonates with the experiences of generations of women whose voices have been systematically silenced. The continuum between pain and empowerment that underpins her work thus operates not as binary opposition but as dialectical process—one in which suffering becomes the raw material of self-making.

Das's courage to name the unspeakable redefines the boundaries of Indian English literature. Her texts bleed with memory, but the bleeding is not indicative of weakness—it is the lifeblood of her art. Through confession, she achieves transcendence; through memory, she achieves meaning. The voice that once trembled with pain evolves into a language of reclamation, one that bridges the intimate and the universal, the personal and the political.

Ultimately, Kamala Das's My Story and poetry reveal that the act of remembering is inseparable from the act of empowering. Her writing transforms the wounded female body into a site of agency, the colonized language into a space of liberation, and the private trauma into a public testament of endurance. The memory that bleeds does not perish—it pulsates with life, asserting the enduring possibility of speaking, surviving, and becoming through the very substance of pain.

References

1. Bhabha HK. The location of culture. London, Routledge, 1994.
2. Caruth C. Unclaimed experience, Trauma, narrative, and history. Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.
3. Cixous H. The laugh of the Medusa. Signs, Journal of Women in Culture and Society,1976:1(4):875–893. doi:10.1086/493306.
4. Das K. Summer in Calcutta. Calcutta, Everest Press, 1965.
5. Das K. The descendants. Calcutta, Writers Workshop, 1967.
6. Das K. The old playhouse and other poems. Hyderabad, Orient Longman, 1973.
7. Das K. My story. New Delhi, Sterling Publishers, 1976.
8. Freud S. Repression. In, Strachey J, editor and translator. The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud. London, Hogarth Press,1957:14:141–58. Original work published 1917.
9. Gandhi L. Postcolonial theory, A critical introduction. New York, Columbia University Press, 1998.
10. Hooks b. Talking back, thinking feminist, thinking Black. Boston: South End Press, 1989.
11. Irigaray L. This sex which is not one. Ithaca NY, Cornell University Press, 1985.
12. Kristeva J. Powers of horror, An essay on abjection. Roudiez LSR, translator. New York, Columbia University Press, 1982.
13. Laub D. Bearing witness, or the vicissitudes of listening. In, Felman S, Laub D, editors. Testimony, Crises of witnessing in literature, psychoanalysis, and history. London: Routledge, 1992, 57–74.
14. Lorde A. Uses of the erotic, The erotic as power. In: Sister outsider, Essays and speeches. Trumansburg, NY, Crossing Press, 1984, 53–59.

15. Millett K. Sexual politics. Garden City, NY, Doubleday, 1970.
16. Mohanty CT. Feminism without borders, decolonizing theory, practicing solidarity. Durham, NC, Duke University Press, 2003.
17. Nair M. Mapping trauma and gender in Indian women's writing. New Delhi, Oxford University Press, 2023.
18. Rich A. Of woman born, Motherhood as experience and institution. New York, Norton, 1976.
19. Showalter E. A literature of their own, British women novelists from Brontë to Lessing. Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press, 1977.
20. Spivak GC. Can the subaltern speak? In, Nelson C, Grossberg L, editors. Marxism and the interpretation of culture. Urbana, IL, University of Illinois Press, 1988, 271–313.