



A comparative study of buddhist flourishing in Asia and Its regression in India: A socio-civilizational perspective

Dheeraj Pratap Mitra

Doctoral Research Scholar, Department of Sociology, Banaras Hindu University Varanasi, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Buddhism occupies a unique position in the civilizational landscape of Asia. Born in the fertile intellectual milieu of sixth-century BCE India, it rapidly developed into one of the most influential philosophical and religious traditions of the ancient world. Yet its historical trajectory presents a profound paradox as while Buddhism flourished across China, Tibet, Mongolia, Cambodia and large parts of Southeast Asia, it simultaneously experienced a marked decline within its land of origin. This paper is an attempt to explore that divergence through a civilizational and sociological lens seeking to understand how cultural structures, political systems and religious environments shaped Buddhism's rise abroad and its regression in India. The study integrates insights from Weber's theory of religious charisma and routinization, Durkheim's ideas on collective consciousness and Berger's conception of religion as a meaning-making system along with theories of cultural diffusion and syncretism. By comparing the adaptive strategies of Mahayana and Vajrayana Buddhism in Asia with the shifting political, linguistic, religious landscape of India, the article highlights how patronage networks, institutional resilience and cultural receptivity played decisive roles. The analysis suggests that Buddhism succeeded in Asia because it aligned with local spiritual needs, integrated harmoniously with indigenous traditions and received consistent state support. In contrast in India the resurgence of Brahmanical traditions, the Bhakti movement's mass appeal, the decline of monastic universities and political upheavals weakened Buddhism's institutional base. The study ultimately underscores that the fate of a religion is shaped not merely by its philosophy but by the civilizational ecosystem that sustains it. This comparative inquiry deepens our understanding of religious transformation in Asia and offers broader reflections on cultural continuity, identity formation, the sociological dynamics of belief systems etc.

Keywords: Buddhism, civilization, India, cultural diffusion, state patronage, syncretism, sociological analysis

Introduction

The emergence of Buddhism in sixth-century BCE India represents one of the most transformative intellectual and spiritual developments in Asian history arising out of a fertile socio-cultural landscape marked by urban growth, political reorganization and philosophical ferment in the Gangetic plains. It was in this crucible of social transition where republican clans coexisted with rising monarchies and where a diverse Shramana tradition questioned ritual orthodoxy that Siddhartha Gautama articulated a universal path based on compassion, ethical discipline and liberation from suffering. Buddhism's early popularity in regions such as Magadha, Kosala, Vaishali and Sravasti was rooted in its pragmatic message, rejection of caste hierarchy, accessibility to ordinary individuals and marginalized communities (Thapar, 2002) [46]. The institutionalization of the Buddhist Sangha supported by monks, merchants, lay devotees etc. allowed the religion to extend its presence along overland and maritime trade routes reaching Central Asia, China and Southeast Asia through the Silk Route and Indian Ocean networks (Bechert & Gombrich, 1991) [4]. But, despite its Indian origins and early royal patronage most notably under Emperor Ashoka Buddhism eventually witnessed a profound paradox as it flourished across Asia while declining sharply in India. This divergence raises a compelling civilizational puzzle. Why did Buddhism achieve deep cultural roots in societies such as China, Tibet, Mongolia, Cambodia evolving into major religious traditions that shaped political institutions, art forms, ethical systems and everyday social life? And conversely why did it gradually diminish within India, where it originated,

developed rich philosophical foundations and sustained world-renowned universities such as Nalanda and Vikramashila before their destruction in the medieval period (Hazra, 1984) [25]? Understanding this contrast requires moving beyond linear historical narratives and engaging with the deeper sociological and civilizational processes that shaped Buddhism's divergent trajectories.

This inquiry holds significant academic importance. First, from the perspective of the sociology of religion, Buddhism offers a powerful case through which to analyze how religions emerge, institutionalize, adapt, decline or transform in response to changing socio-political environments. By examining its spread across Asia and regression in India, we can apply and test classical theoretical frameworks such as Max Weber's ideas on charisma, routinization, religious authority (Weber, 1958) [48], Emile Durkheim's conception of religion as a source of collective consciousness and social cohesion (Durkheim, 1915) [14] and Peter Berger's understanding of religion as a system of meaning-making that must continuously negotiate with social reality (Berger, 1967) [5]. Second, this study contributes to Asian civilizational scholarship by showing how Buddhism interacted with diverse cultural ecosystems merging with Confucian ethics in China, integrating with Bon traditions in Tibet, aligning with shamanic cosmologies in Mongolia and shaping kingship and art in Cambodia and Southeast Asia. These processes illuminate broader themes of syncretism, cultural receptivity, the dynamics of civilizational dialogue (Eisenstadt, 2000) [15]. Third, the comparative perspective helps deepen our understanding of religious transformation and cultural adaptation by showing

that the survival and growth of a religion depend not only on doctrinal appeal but also on institutional resilience, political patronage, linguistic accessibility and the compatibility between religious values and social structures. In India, Buddhism lost royal support, faced competition from reformed Hindu traditions, became disconnected from village life and suffered catastrophic institutional losses while in Asia, it creatively adapted to local contexts and received strong state reinforcement. By situating these developments within a sociological framework, the study underscores that religions do not expand or decline in isolation but remain deeply embedded in the civilizational environments that sustain them.

This article therefore sets out a clear scope and set of objectives. It aims to compare the historical-cultural-political conditions that enabled Buddhism to flourish in Asia and those that contributed to its regression in India placing both trajectories within a unified civilizational framework. It seeks to uncover the structural features of Asian societies such as the absence of caste hierarchy, the openness to new religious philosophies, the integration of monastic institutions into state and cultural life that made the adoption of Buddhism both attractive and sustainable. Simultaneously, it examines how Indian socio-religious structures evolved in ways that limited Buddhism's long-term viability especially with the rise of the Bhakti movement, the consolidation of Brahmanical authority and shifts in political economy and linguistic patterns. The analysis incorporates multiple sociological theories of religious change, recognizing that no single factor whether doctrinal, economic or political can adequately explain the divergence. Rather, it is the interplay between institutional structures, cultural traditions, social hierarchies and political conditions that shaped the distinct trajectories of Buddhism. Methodologically, this study adopts a historical-comparative approach examining the emergence, spread, decline and transformation of Buddhism across multiple regions and time periods. It draws on primary textual sources available in Hindi-English such as the Pali Canon, Mahayana Sutras, Chinese chronicles, Tibetan religious histories, edicts of Ashoka and accounts of travelers like Xuanzang, Yijing and Faxian. These sources help illuminate how Buddhist ideas were transmitted, translated, reinterpreted in ways that resonated with local cultures. In addition, the study engages extensively with secondary literature from sociology, anthropology, religious studies and political history to contextualize the broader structural forces influencing Buddhism's evolution. Comparative insights are drawn from archaeological findings, monastic inscriptions, temple architecture, recent historiographical interpretations across India, China, Tibet, Mongolia and Southeast Asia. By combining textual analysis with a sociological reading of institutions, power relations, cultural dynamics etc. the study provides a holistic understanding of Buddhism's divergent civilizational journeys. Ultimately, this introduction sets the stage for a deeper exploration of how a tradition born in India not only shaped the religious life of vast regions across Asia but also experienced a complex and uneven trajectory within its homeland offering profound lessons about the nature of religious change, cultural adaptation and civilizational growth.

Theoretical Framework

Understanding the divergent historical trajectories of Buddhism in Asia and its regression in India requires a robust theoretical framework that brings classical sociological theories of religion, civilizational analysis, and contemporary theories of cultural diffusion and syncretism together. Max Weber's insights on religious charisma and its eventual routinization provide a foundational lens through which to interpret the early success of Buddhism and its later institutional transformations. Weber emphasized that charismatic authority originates from exceptional leaders who articulate new ethical visions and the Buddha exemplifies this form of charisma through his personal mastery, moral authority, ability to challenge existing rituals and social hierarchies (Weber, 1958) ^[48]. As Buddhism moved beyond its charismatic founder, it evolved into a structured, rule-governed monastic order with the Sangha serving as a mechanism for preserving doctrine, regulating monastic life and interacting with society. Weber's theory helps explain how this institutionalization produced different outcomes across cultural contexts as in India, routinization contributed to a certain rigidity and scholastic insulation that gradually distanced the Sangha from popular religiosity, whereas in China, Tibet, Mongolia and Southeast Asia routinization was more adaptive allowing Buddhism to integrate with local beliefs, state structures, community life etc. While Weber foregrounds the role of charismatic origins and institutional evolution, Émile Durkheim's conception of religion as a source of collective consciousness enriches this perspective by drawing attention to how Buddhism acquired different social functions depending on the nature of the societies that adopted it. Durkheim argued that religion strengthens social solidarity by providing shared meanings, rituals and moral frameworks (Durkheim, 1915) ^[14] and this helps explain why Buddhism resonated deeply in clan-based and tribal societies in Tibet and Mongolia where monastic institutions became centers of collective identity, while in caste-bound India the monastic-egalitarian ethos of Buddhism was structurally at odds with a highly stratified social order. The incompatibility between the egalitarian universalism of Buddhism and the entrenched caste system limited its capacity to serve as a basis for collective identity among Indian villages, whereas in East and Central Asia Buddhism's communal rituals, moral codes, monastic networks easily became sources of social cohesion.

To these classical sociological insights Peter Berger's concept of religion as a 'sacred canopy' adds an indispensable interpretive layer. Berger (1967) ^[5] argued that religion functions as a meaning-making system that legitimizes social reality by offering coherent narratives about suffering, morality, human purpose etc. Buddhism's philosophical richness its analysis of suffering, ethical discipline, meditative practices provided such a canopy across diverse regions but its capacity to offer meaning depended on how well it aligned with existing cultural worldviews. In China, for example Buddhist doctrines on compassion, meditation and moral cultivation harmonized with Confucian ethics and Daoist cosmology enabling Buddhism to become a pillar of Chinese meaning-making. In Tibet, the symbolic universe of Vajrayana Buddhism with its rituals, cosmology, monastic theology fused seamlessly with the pre-existing Bon tradition producing a powerful and enduring sacred canopy that shaped Tibetan identity.

Berger's theoretical contributions illuminate why Buddhism proved highly adaptable across Asian civilizations but struggled in India once Brahmanical Hinduism reasserted itself and offered a strong competing canopy through the Bhakti movement and Vedantic revival. Beyond these sociological theories civilizational analysis as developed by Eisenstadt, Huntington and Toynbee provide a macro-historical framework for interpreting the broader dynamics of Buddhist expansion and decline. Eisenstadt's idea of 'multiple modernities' emphasizes that civilizations interpret and transform cultural traditions differently depending on their institutional patterns and historical trajectories (Eisenstadt, 2000) [15]. Applying this lens, Buddhism's flourishing in East and Southeast Asia can be seen as the outcome of multiple civilizational appropriations like Chinese civilization adopted Buddhism as a complement to Confucian statecraft and Daoist spirituality, Tibetan civilization transformed it into a monastic theocracy and Cambodian civilization integrated it into a Hindu-Buddhist kingship model. Huntington's argument that civilizations are shaped by deep cultural inheritances also helps explain why caste-bound Indian civilization eventually moved toward a revitalized Hindu identity while East Asian civilizations lacking rigid caste systems were more open to Buddhist moral and philosophical structures (Huntington, 1996) [30]. Similarly, Toynbee's theory that civilizations grow through creative responses to challenges helps illuminate how Buddhism's adaptive vitality in Asia enabled it to flourish when confronted with new intellectual, political, cultural challenges (Toynbee, 1957) [47]. In contrast its decline in India can be partially attributed to a failure of institutional adaptation amid rising Brahmanical orthodoxy, linguistic shifts and political upheavals. Finally, theories of cultural diffusion and syncretism complete this theoretical framework by explaining the mechanisms through which Buddhism spread and survived across distant regions. Cultural diffusion theory posits that religions expand through networks of exchange, translation and adaptation often mediated by merchants, monks, travelers and state actors (Rogers, 2003) [36]. Buddhism's success along the Silk Route, maritime trade routes, through translator-scholars in China and Tibet exemplifies this process. However, diffusion alone does not guarantee survival; syncretism is often essential especially when a religious tradition enters culturally diverse environments. Syncretism defined as the blending of religious symbols, rituals, philosophical ideas enabled Buddhism to merge with Daoist cosmology in China, Bon shamanism in Tibet, shamanic traditions in Mongolia and Hindu-Khmer kingship models in Cambodia (Swearer, 2010) [44]. Mahayana and Vajrayana Buddhism in particular acted as highly adaptive forms incorporating local deities, ritual practices, artistic traditions and cosmological structures to remain socially meaningful. In India, by contrast, syncretism worked in the opposite direction as Hinduism absorbed Buddhist ethical principles, narrative motifs and even the Buddha himself as an avatar of Vishnu, thereby reducing Buddhism's distinctiveness. These theoretical perspectives when brought together highlight that Buddhism's divergent pathways were not merely outcomes of historical accidents but rather reflections of how religious charisma, collective identity, civilizational structures and cultural adaptation intersected with social realities across Asian societies.

Historical Roots and Development of Buddhism in India

The historical roots and development of Buddhism in India are deeply intertwined with the social, political and intellectual transformations of 6th BCE northern India, a period marked by rapid urbanization, shifting political formations, vibrant philosophical debates. The Gangetic plains during this era witnessed the emergence of complex urban centers such as Rajagriha, Vaishali, Kapilavastu, Sravasti etc. supported by expanding agricultural production, long-distance trade networks and the rise of powerful Mahajanapadas like Magadha and Kosala (Thapar, 2002) [46]. This socio-economic transformation generated new forms of wealth, social mobility, political centralization while simultaneously exposing inequalities embedded in older Vedic traditions. The dominant Brahmanical religion centered on elaborate sacrificial rituals and priestly authority increasingly appeared disconnected from the lived concerns of emerging urban populations (Olivelle, 2006) [33]. In reaction to this ritualism and hierarchical social order, a wide spectrum of Shramana traditions comprising ascetics, renunciants, wandering philosophers etc. challenged the supremacy of the Vedas and proposed alternative spiritual pathways such as non-violence, self-discipline, meditation and ethical conduct. It was within this intellectual ferment that Siddhartha Gautama, later known as the Buddha, articulated a radically new vision of human existence grounded not in divine revelation but in empirical introspection and ethical transformation (Gethin, 1998) [21]. His teachings emerged as a compassionate response to the social and existential anxieties of his time offering a universally accessible path that neither relied on ritual sacrifice nor upheld rigid caste distinctions, making Buddhism both socially resonant and philosophically innovative. At the philosophical heart of early Buddhism lay the Four Noble Truths and the Eightfold Path which together provided a practical and rational framework for addressing the pervasive reality of suffering. The First Noble Truth diagnosed suffering (dukkha) as an inherent condition of human life while the Second attributed it to craving and attachment. The Third declared that liberation from suffering was attainable through the cessation of craving and the Fourth outlined a disciplined ethical and meditative path the Eightfold Path that integrated right view, intention, speech, action, livelihood, effort, mindfulness and concentration. These doctrines were revolutionary not only because they offered a universal psychological analysis of human suffering but also because they rejected caste hierarchy, priestly control and ritual sacrifice which were central to the Brahmanical worldview. By emphasizing ethical conduct, self-cultivation and compassion over ritual purity Buddhism appealed strongly to marginalized groups, merchants, artisans and urban dwellers who found greater dignity and meaning in its egalitarian orientation (Chakrabarti, 2001) [7]. The Sangha, or community of monks and nuns further reinforced this inclusivity by accepting followers from all backgrounds thereby creating a social institution that embodied the Buddha's vision of moral equality and communal harmony. This philosophical clarity combined with its ethical attractiveness enabled Buddhism to spread rapidly across the Gangetic plains within a few decades after the Buddha's death. The expansion of Buddhism in India received unprecedented momentum under the Mauryan emperor Ashoka in the third century BCE whose conversion to Buddhism after the devastating

Kalinga war transformed the religion from a regional ethical movement into a pan-Indian moral and political force. Ashoka's edicts inscribed on pillars and rock surfaces across the subcontinent proclaimed the principles of dharma tolerance, compassion, non-violence, respect for elders and welfare for all living beings reflecting an attempt to embed Buddhist ethical values within the machinery of statecraft. As a patron of Buddhism, Ashoka supported the construction of stupas, monasteries, rest houses, hospitals and convened the Third Buddhist Council to purify the Sangha and consolidate doctrinal unity (Hazra, 1984) [25]. Importantly, Ashoka's diplomacy extended Buddhism far beyond Indian borders. He dispatched missionaries to Sri Lanka, Central Asia, the Hellenistic world and regions that would later become strongholds of Mahayana and Theravada Buddhism thereby laying the foundations for its global spread. Ashoka's patronage not only elevated Buddhism's political stature but also institutionalized its educational and organizational structures fostering the emergence of a sophisticated monastic network that played a central role in preserving and transmitting Buddhist knowledge. Following Ashoka, Buddhism continued to flourish under subsequent dynasties such as the Kushans particularly under King Kanishka in the first and second centuries CE. This period witnessed significant institutional growth and the establishment of iconic monastic universities such as Nalanda, Vikramashila and Odantapuri which became international centers of learning attracting students and scholars from China, Korea, Tibet, Mongolia and Southeast Asia (Ruegg, 1995) [28]. Nalanda, founded in the fifth century CE, developed into one of the world's earliest residential universities offering extensive curricula that included Buddhist philosophy, logic, grammar, astronomy, medicine and metaphysics. Its libraries described in Chinese pilgrim accounts such as Xuanzang's *Great Tang Records*, held thousands of manuscripts and were unparalleled in Asia (Beal, 1884) [3]. Vikramashila, established in the eighth century CE, specialized in tantric studies and played a crucial role in the transmission of Buddhist teachings to Tibet. Odantapuri contributed significantly to scholastic development as well serving as an intellectual link between Indian and Tibetan Buddhism. These institutions were not merely learning centers; they functioned as socio-educational hubs where monastic discipline, dialectical debate, manuscript production and intercultural exchange shaped a dynamic intellectual culture. The Sangha's role expanded beyond religious instruction to include social welfare, ethical guidance, conflict mediation and community support positioning Buddhist monks as influential actors in the social-cultural life of ancient India. However, Buddhism in India was not a monolithic tradition; it underwent significant internal transformations that shaped its doctrinal evolution and socio-political relevance. The rise of the Mahayana movement around the first century CE marked a major shift emphasizing universal compassion, the ideal of the bodhisattva and the accessibility of enlightenment to laypeople. Mahayana texts such as the *Lotus Sutra* and the *Heart Sutra* expanded Buddhism's cosmological horizons and devotional dimensions introducing celestial Buddhas and intricate philosophical debates on emptiness (*śūnyatā*) and consciousness (Williams, 2009) [50]. This broadened religious landscape allowed Buddhism to appeal to a wider audience and facilitated its spread across Central and East Asia. Later, the

emergence of Vajrayana or Tantric Buddhism introduced esoteric rituals, mantras, mandalas and deity visualizations that resonated strongly with Himalayan cultures and contributed to Tibetan and Mongolian Buddhist identities. These internal developments while enriching Buddhism's philosophical and ritual repertoire also generated tensions within monastic communities, particularly between conservative Theravada adherents and reformist Mahayana and Vajrayana practitioners (Conze, 1975) [11]. Debates over doctrinal purity, monastic discipline, ritual practices occasionally fragmented the Sangha and weakened institutional cohesion. Despite these challenges, Buddhism's intellectual vitality, ethical teachings, monastic institutions and cross-cultural adaptability made it a dominant religious force in India for nearly fifteen centuries. Its decline in later centuries stemmed not from internal doctrinal limitations but from external pressures changing political landscapes and the resurgence of Brahmanical traditions. Yet its contributions to Indian society including philosophical innovation, social ethics, art, literature, and education remain foundational to the subcontinent's civilizational heritage. More importantly, the Buddhist traditions that developed in India served as the seedbed for the flourishing of Buddhism across Asia where it adapted to new cultural environments and shaped entire civilizations. Thus, the historical development of Buddhism in India reflects a complex interplay of social conditions, intellectual creativity, institutional growth and cross-regional transmission establishing the foundations upon which its global legacy was built.

Flourishing of Buddhism in Asia Buddhism in China

The flourishing of Buddhism in China stands as one of the most remarkable examples of civilizational transformation illustrating how a tradition born in the Gangetic plains of India not only entered but profoundly reshaped the intellectual, artistic, religious fabric of a civilization with entirely different cultural assumptions. Buddhism first entered China through the Silk Route around the first century CE, carried by merchants, monks and Central Asian intermediaries who brought with them manuscripts, relics, stories about the Buddha (Zürcher, 2007) [52]. These routes connected oasis towns such as Dunhuang, Kucha and Turfan which became early centers of Buddhist translation and monastic settlement. Initially, the Chinese encountered Buddhism through the lens of existing Daoist and folk cosmologies, interpreting early sutras as works related to immortality practices, magical arts or foreign ritual techniques (Ch'en, 1973) [9]. Despite these early misunderstandings, Buddhism gradually captured the interest of Chinese elites and commoners alike partly because it offered moral clarity and metaphysical depth during a period characterized by political instability, dynastic transitions and intellectual search for new meaning. The role of imperial patronage was crucial in Buddhism's expansion in China, particularly during the Han and Tang dynasties. The Han dynasty provided the first official recognition of Buddhism building monasteries and allowing monks to participate in court rituals. However, it was under the Tang dynasty that Buddhism reached unparalleled heights. Tang emperors such as Taizong and Gaozong supported translation projects, commissioned sculptures and integrated Buddhist teachings into theories of governance

seeing in its ethical emphasis a tool for promoting social harmony and legitimizing imperial authority (Wright, 1959)^[51]. Court-sponsored monasteries across Chang'an, Luoyang and other major cities became centers of scholarship and artistic excellence. This symbiotic relationship between the throne and the Sangha helped Buddhism embed itself deeply into Chinese society. Even during periods of backlash most notably the Great Persecution of 845 CE under Emperor Wuzong Buddhism demonstrated remarkable resilience rebuilding itself due to widespread public support and deeply rooted monastic networks (Reischauer, 1955)^[35]. Among the most transformative forces in Chinese Buddhist history were the grand translation movements that unfolded between the second and eighth centuries CE. Translation was not a simple linguistic act but a complex intellectual process requiring creative adaptation of Indian concepts into Chinese philosophical vocabulary. Two towering figures dominate this period. Kumārajīva, brought from Kucha to Chang'an in the fourth century revolutionized translation through his elegant accessible style that captured both the poetic and philosophical dimensions of Mahayana texts. His translations of the *Lotus Sutra*, *Vimalakīrti Sutra* and *Diamond Sutra* became foundational texts for Chinese Buddhism (Teiser, 2004)^[45]. Several centuries later Xuanzang undertook his historic pilgrimage to India visiting Nalanda and collecting hundreds of manuscripts. His translations, including the *Heart Sutra* and *Yogācārabhūmi* introduced unprecedented philological precision and doctrinal clarity, shaping Chinese scholasticism and giving rise to the influential Faxiang school (Wong, 1997). These translation efforts enabled Chinese monks and scholars to create new commentaries, philosophical debates, monastic curricula and rituals that reflected both Indian Buddhist thought and Chinese intellectual culture. As Buddhism took root in China, it underwent a process of syncretism blending with Daoism, Confucianism, indigenous folk traditions in ways that made it culturally accessible. Daoist influences shaped cosmological interpretations, meditation styles, monastic codes; Confucian ideals informed ethical teachings, hierarchical structures and the family-state relationship; and Chinese folk traditions influenced ritual practices and festival observances (Brook, 1993)^[6]. Far from remaining a foreign import Buddhism gradually became 'Sinicized.' For instance, the Buddhist doctrine of emptiness was interpreted through the Daoist concept of 'non-being,' while Confucian moral philosophy made Buddhist ethics legible to literati scholars. This dynamic interplay produced a layered religious environment often captured in the Chinese saying: "Three teachings-Confucianism, Daoism, and Buddhism are one." One of the most distinctive consequences of this cultural integration was the rise of uniquely Chinese Buddhist schools each reflecting different ways of interpreting Buddhist teachings through local concerns. The Chan school, attributed to Bodhidharma, emphasized meditation, spontaneity, the ineffable nature of enlightenment. Its focus on direct experience and intuitive wisdom resonated deeply with Chinese aesthetics and philosophical sensibilities (Faure, 1993)^[17]. Chan masters' use of paradoxical teachings, silence, sudden realization shaped not only religious practice but also Chinese literature, poetry and visual arts. The Pure Land school became immensely popular among laypeople by offering an accessible devotional path as chanting the name of Amitābha Buddha as a means to attain

rebirth in the Western Paradise. Pure Land's democratizing emphasis on faith and sincerity made Buddhism deeply embedded in daily village life (Sharf, 2002)^[40]. Additionally, the Tiantai and Huayan schools produced sophisticated metaphysical systems such as Zhiyi's integration of meditation and doctrine and Huayan's theory of interpenetration which expressed a deeply Chinese vision of harmony and interconnectedness. These intellectual developments show how Buddhism was not merely adopted but fundamentally transformed within China. The social functions of Chinese Buddhism further illustrate why it flourished so profoundly across the centuries. Monasteries became vital centers of education, offering training in philosophy, logic, medicine, astronomy, literature and calligraphy. They preserved manuscripts, maintained libraries and facilitated scholarly exchange across East Asia (Whitfield, 2004)^[49]. Buddhist institutions also played major roles in charity, operating orphanages, hospitals, granaries and inns along trade routes thus serving as important welfare providers long before the rise of state-sponsored systems. These activities not only enhanced Buddhism's moral authority but also embedded it within local communities as a source of compassion and social responsibility. Finally, Buddhism contributed significantly to state legitimacy by providing ethical foundations, moral symbolism and ritual frameworks that rulers could draw upon to justify authority, manage crises, cultivate harmony. Emperors sponsored massive public rituals, temple constructions and monastic ordinations using Buddhist cosmology to portray their rule as part of a moral universe a political strategy that reinforced both imperial stability and religious prominence (Wright, 1959)^[51]. Taken together, the story of Buddhism in China reveals a powerful combination of factors Silk Route transmission, imperial patronage, intellectual translation, syncretic adaptation, doctrinal creativity and vital social functions that transformed a foreign ascetic tradition into one of the central pillars of Chinese civilization. Buddhism provided philosophical depth for scholars, devotional accessibility for commoners, ethical guidance for rulers, cultural inspiration for artists, and social welfare for communities. Its sinicization was not a passive process but an active negotiation between Indian and Chinese worldviews, producing one of history's richest and most enduring Buddhist cultures.

Buddhism in Tibet

The emergence and flourishing of Buddhism in Tibet constitute one of the most extraordinary instances of religious transformation in human history illustrating how a tradition originating in India was creatively reinterpreted within a Himalayan cultural landscape shaped by shamanic cosmologies, powerful ritual practices and an intricate relationship between religion and political authority. The historical transmission of Buddhism into Tibet is generally traced to the eighth century during the reign of King Trisong Detsen who recognizing Buddhism's intellectual sophistication and moral depth invited two towering Indian Buddhist masters Shantarakshita, the abbot of Nalanda and Padmasambhava, the tantric adept from Uddiyana to help establish the religion in the Tibetan court (Snellgrove & Richardson, 1986)^[42]. Shantarakshita introduced monastic discipline, scholastic training, foundational Mahayana doctrines while Padmasambhava addressed the more challenging task of integrating Buddhism with indigenous

Tibetan shamanic practices known collectively as Bon. According to Tibetan historical narratives, Padmasambhava subdued local deities and spirits not by eliminating them but by converting them into protectors (dharmapālas) of the Buddhist order, thereby ensuring that Buddhism entered Tibet not through violent suppression but through ritual negotiation and symbolic transformation (Dalton, 2011) [12]. This process of integration created a distinctly Tibetan form of Buddhism where indigenous cosmologies, divine beings and ritual specialists were woven into a Buddhist worldview without undermining core doctrinal principles. Thus, the earliest phase of Tibetan Buddhism is defined by a twofold process as the establishment of monastic and philosophical foundations by Shantarakshita and the ritual incorporation of indigenous spiritual forces by Padmasambhava, whose charisma and symbolic power continue to occupy a central place in Tibetan identity. As Buddhism took root, Tibet evolved into a monastic theocracy a political-religious system in which spiritual authority was inseparable from political governance. The Dalai Lama lineage emerged in the fifteenth century with the recognition of Gedun Drupa as the first Dalai Lama, though the institution assumed full political authority only under the Fifth Dalai Lama in the seventeenth century who unified Tibet with Mongol military support and established the Ganden Phodrang government in Lhasa (Samuel, 1993) [39]. This priest-patron (chö-yön) model in which Tibetan lamas provided spiritual legitimacy while Mongol patrons offered military and political backing shaped Tibetan political culture for centuries and fortified the position of the Buddhist clergy as the central governing elite. Monasteries such as Drepung, Sera and Ganden became not only religious centers but also administrative bodies, economic institutions and intellectual hubs that wielded immense influence over everyday Tibetan life. These monastic universities enrolled thousands of monks structured education through rigorous debate and cultivated a disciplined lifestyle that reinforced the authority of religious institutions. The Dalai Lama, as both a spiritual exemplar and a political leader, embodied the fusion of moral, ritual and secular power making Tibetan Buddhism not merely a religion but a fully integrated civilizational force. The philosophical flourishing of Tibetan Buddhism was equally profound. Drawing on the rich legacies of Indian Buddhism, Tibetan scholars developed sophisticated interpretations of Madhyamika (Middle Way) and Yogachara (Mind-Only) philosophies. The Madhyamika tradition especially the Prasangika school associated with Nagarjuna and Chandrakirti became highly influential in Tibetan scholasticism due to its emphasis on emptiness (śūnyatā) and the interdependence of all phenomena offering a powerful analytical method for dismantling conceptual attachments and affirming ultimate reality (Garfield, 1995) [19]. Yogachara philosophy, introduced through texts brought by Atisha and later through translations from Sanskrit provided nuanced analyses of consciousness, perception and the structure of mind, allowing Tibetan thinkers to explore meditation, epistemology, ethics within a sophisticated psychological framework (Williams, 2009) [50]. These philosophical traditions were not simply studied but actively debated in monastic 'debate courtyards,' where dialectical reasoning and intellectual precision became hallmarks of Tibetan Buddhist education. Over time, these debates produced some of the world's most intricate commentaries,

systematized doxographies, meditative manuals etc. making Tibet one of the most intellectually vibrant Buddhist civilizations. Beyond philosophy and politics, Buddhism became deeply embedded in Tibetan culture through ritual practices symbolic representations and everyday moral life. Rituals involving mantras, mudras, offerings and protective deities formed part of both monastic and lay religiosity reflecting a synthesis of Indian tantric practices with Tibetan shamanic sensibilities (Hershock, 2005) [26]. Mandalas as cosmic diagrams used for meditation and ritual empowerment became central to Tibetan art embodying a rich symbolic universe where geometry-color-cosmology expressed profound metaphysical truths. These visual forms were complemented by thangka paintings, stupas, prayer flags and prayer wheels that infused Tibetan landscapes with a sense of sacred presence, making Buddhism both a visual and spatial experience. Monastic discipline governed by the Vinaya and expanded through Tibetan commentaries, structured daily life, emphasizing celibacy, meditation, study, ritual practice and communal responsibilities. These monastic institutions also functioned as centers of healing, astrology, manuscript production and community guidance, thereby intertwining religious authority with practical social roles. The cultural embedding of Buddhism extended to music, dance, festival rituals, architecture and narrative literature notably the *Life of Milarepa*, *Epic of King Gesar* and biographies of great lamas which shaped Tibetan ethical imagination for centuries. Thus, Tibetan Buddhism flourished through a confluence of historical transmission, ritual integration, political legitimization, philosophical sophistication and cultural embodiment transforming Tibet into a unique Buddhist civilization. Its development reveals how Buddhism adapted to distinct environments not by diluting its doctrinal core but by engaging creatively with local cosmologies, political structures and cultural practices. In Tibet, Buddhism did not remain an imported tradition; it became the defining prism through which society understood morality, governance, cosmology and identity. This multilayered transformation demonstrates Buddhism's extraordinary capacity to reimagine itself while retaining continuity with its Indian origins, ultimately producing one of the most vibrant, intellectually rich and ritually powerful Buddhist cultures in world history.

Buddhism in Mongolia

The emergence and consolidation of Buddhism in Mongolia present a striking example of how a religious tradition can reshape an entire cultural landscape when it resonates with indigenous cosmologies and political structures. The initial encounter between Mongolian societies and Buddhism occurred through the transmission of Mahayana and Tibetan Vajrayana traditions during the early medieval period, long before Buddhism became the dominant faith of the steppe. While small pockets of Buddhist influence reached Mongolia through Central Asian intermediaries and Silk Route interactions as early as the sixth and seventh centuries, it was Tibetan Buddhism especially in its tantric forms that made the deepest impression on Mongol elites (Atwood, 2004) [1]. Early Mongol rulers encountered Buddhist teachers from Tibet and North China with several chronicles mentioning the exchange of ritual objects, protective amulets and healing practices between Tibetan lamas and nomadic chieftains. However, it was only during the rise of the Mongol Empire in the thirteenth century that

these interactions intensified culminating in a deliberate political embrace of Buddhism under the Yuan dynasty founded by Kublai Khan. Kublai's adoption of Tibetan Buddhism particularly the Sakya school was not only a personal religious preference but a strategic political choice that helped him consolidate authority across a vast ethnically diverse empire (Rossabi, 1988) [36]. Through the establishment of a priest-patron (*chö-yön*) relationship with the Tibetan Sakya hierarch Phagpa, Kublai institutionalized Buddhism as a cosmopolitan imperial ideology using it to legitimize Mongol rule, unify disparate populations and strengthen diplomatic ties with Buddhist regions across Asia. The acceptance of Buddhism in Mongolia was further facilitated by its sociological compatibility with indigenous shamanic traditions. Mongolian shamanism emphasized spirit mediation, rituals for protection and healing, a cosmology populated by ancestral, natural and territorial deities features that seamlessly blended with Vajrayana Buddhism's pantheon of protective deities, ritual specialists and tantric rites (Humphrey & Onon, 1996). Tibetan lamas did not attempt to eradicate shamanism; instead, much like Padmasambhava's integration of Bon elements in Tibet, they incorporated Mongol spirit deities as protectors of the Dharma reinterpreting their functions within a Buddhist symbolic system. This allowed Buddhist rituals such as chanting, fire offerings, consecrations to coexist with, and eventually assimilate shamanic practices. For nomadic Mongols the tantric emphasis on powerful ritual intervention, protective deities, esoteric mastery resonated more naturally than the philosophical scholasticism of Indian monastic Buddhism. As a result, Vajrayana Buddhism provided an appealing religious framework that spoke to both the spiritual anxieties and the social structures of nomadic pastoral life. This cultural fit strengthened Buddhism's legitimacy among both elites and commoners facilitating its rapid diffusion across Mongolian regions. Monastic centers soon emerged as major hubs of education, manuscript production, socio-political organization mirroring their counterparts in Tibet but adapted to Mongolian needs. The establishment of monasteries such as Erdene Zuu, Amarbayasgalant, and Gandantegchinlen marked a turning point in Mongolian religious history. These monasteries housed thousands of monks, operated schools teaching philosophy, logic, astrology, medicine and ritual arts, and functioned as repositories of Buddhist texts many of which were translated into the Mongolian script (Bawden, 1989) [2]. They also developed sophisticated printing technologies contributing to the spread of Buddhist literature across the steppe. Monasteries played important economic roles as well, accumulating livestock, overseeing agricultural estates, organizing trade networks and offering administrative services which made them powerful institutions in both spiritual and worldly matters. For nomadic communities, monasteries became centers of stability places where children learned to read and write, communities gathered for festivals, rulers sought counsel on governance and ritual protection. The presence of such knowledge hubs helped anchor Buddhism firmly into Mongolian social life transforming it from a court-sponsored religion into a civilizational force. Politically, Buddhism provided Mongol rulers with a powerful tool for legitimacy and imperial identity. The priest-patron model forged between the Mongol khans and Tibetan lamas created a mutually reinforcing relationship in which the

lama offered spiritual support, prophecies, ritual protection while the khan supplied military power and patronage. This symbiosis was revitalized in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries when Altan Khan, a prominent Mongol leader invited the Third Dalai Lama, Sonam Gyatso to Mongolia and formally converted to Tibetan Buddhism (Elverskog, 2006) [16]. In recognition of his role, Sonam Gyatso was given the title 'Dalai Lama,' establishing a relationship that cemented the Gelug school as the dominant form of Buddhism in Mongolia. Buddhism thus provided a new ideological foundation for Mongol identity after the dissolution of the earlier empire helping unify fragmented tribes and legitimizing new political structures. Monks served as advisors, scribes, astronomers and diplomats, further entrenching Buddhism within the governance of the region. The combination of esoteric ritual expertise, scholarly prestige, transregional monastic networks allowed Mongolian rulers to present themselves as righteous Buddhist kings aligning with the universal Buddhist model of cakravartin or wheel-turning monarch. Over time Buddhism became deeply embedded in Mongolian culture through festivals, art, architecture, music and everyday moral life. Rituals such as the Tsam masked dances symbolized the fusion of tantric deities with Mongolian performance traditions while Buddhist cosmology shaped storytelling, epic literature and funerary customs. Prayer wheels, stupas, thangka paintings and monastic architecture reoriented Mongolian spatial and aesthetic sensibilities. Even after the 20th century suppression of Buddhism under Soviet rule when thousands of monasteries were destroyed and monks executed or secularized the religion demonstrated remarkable resilience, re-emerging as a key component of Mongolian identity after the democratic revolution of 1990 (Kapstein, 2006) [31]. This modern revival underscores the deep roots Buddhism established in Mongolian consciousness, derived from centuries of cultural synergy, political patronage, and institutional presence. Thus, Buddhism's flourishing in Mongolia was not a simple case of religious conversion but a complex interplay of historical encounters, sociological compatibility, political alliances and cultural creativity. Its success lay in its ability to integrate with shamanic cosmologies provide moral and ritual frameworks for nomadic life establish monasteries as centers of knowledge and governance, and legitimize political authority through symbolic power. The Mongolian case vividly demonstrates Buddhism's extraordinary capacity for civilizational adaptation and its potential to mold social, political, cultural identities far from its Indian origins.

Buddhism in Cambodia and Southeast Asia

The evolution of Buddhism in Cambodia and mainland Southeast Asia reflects a rich historical tapestry woven from early Hindu-Buddhist interactions, imperial patronage, artistic achievements and the deep integration of Buddhist ethics into local kingship and village life. The earliest phase of religious development in the region dating from the early centuries of the Common Era was marked by a syncretic fusion of Indian Hinduism and Mahayana Buddhism that reached Cambodia, Thailand, Laos, Vietnam through maritime trade networks linking South Asia with coastal polities such as Funan and Chenla (Coedès, 1968) [10]. Indian merchants, Brahmin priests and Buddhist monks introduced Sanskrit texts, temple rituals and political ideas such as

divine kingship which took root in local courts. During this early Hindu-Buddhist period, rulers selectively appropriated Indian cosmology and ritual practices to bolster their authority blending them with indigenous animist beliefs centered on ancestral spirits, land deities and protective guardians. This intersecting religious landscape laid the foundation for the extraordinary cultural flowering of the Khmer Empire whose religious identity transitioned from Mahayana to Theravada Buddhism while maintaining elements of Hindu kingship and indigenous ritualism. The Khmer Empire which reached its zenith between the ninth and thirteenth centuries represents one of the most significant chapters in the history of Southeast Asian Buddhism. The reign of Jayavarman VII (1181-1218 CE) is particularly notable, as he adopted Mahayana Buddhism as the state religion and constructed monumental temples such as the Bayon, Ta Prohm and Preah Khan as expressions of Buddhist cosmology and royal legitimacy (Freeman & Jacques, 2006) ^[18]. Jayavarman VII portrayed himself as a bodhisattva-king dedicated to the welfare of his subjects, building hospitals, rest houses and reservoirs that reflected Buddhist ideals of compassion and merit-making. The Bayon temple, with its iconic serene faces of Avalokiteśvara symbolized both spiritual protection and the omnipresent benevolence of the Buddhist monarch. However, by the fourteenth century, Theravada Buddhism had become dominant across Cambodia, Thailand, Laos introduced through interactions with Sri Lanka and reinforced by networks of monastic reformers and pilgrims (Strong, 2015) ^[43]. Theravada's emphasis on individual merit, ethical living, monastic discipline etc. resonated deeply with Southeast Asian societies reshaping political structures, social ethics and cultural practices. Its egalitarian ethos contrasted with the earlier court-centric Mahayana tradition and made Buddhism a religion of village communities as much as of kings. The artistic and architectural achievements of the region vividly illustrate the depth of Buddhist influence on Southeast Asian civilization. Angkor Wat originally constructed in the early twelfth century as a Vishnu temple under Suryavarman II was later repurposed within a Buddhist framework demonstrating the fluid interplay between Hindu and Buddhist sacred landscapes (Higham, 2014) ^[27]. Its bas-reliefs, narrative panels and cosmic design encode both Hindu cosmology and Buddhist symbolism embodying a seamless cultural transition rather than a rupture. The Bayon temple, the spiritual heart of Jayavarman VII's Mahayana state exemplifies the unique Khmer interpretation of Buddhist kingship through its towering faces and intricate carvings depicting compassion, guardianship and royal power. Beyond Cambodia Theravada Buddhist architecture flourished in Thailand's Sukhothai and Ayutthaya kingdoms and Laos's Luang Prabang, incorporating stupas, vihāras and Buddha images characterized by serene expressions and graceful forms. These artistic traditions were not mere adornments but essential expressions of the Buddhist worldview mediating between cosmic order and social life. The visual prominence of Buddha images, stupas, temple complexes etc. transformed the landscape into a sacred geography integrating Buddhist aesthetics into everyday experience. A defining feature of Buddhism in Cambodia and Southeast Asia was its integration with local kingship models. Rulers were traditionally conceptualized as Dhammarāja kings who governed according to Buddhist moral principles or as

bodhisattva-kings who embodied compassion and acted for the welfare of the realm (Skilling, 2007) ^[41]. This model legitimized the authority of political elites while encouraging kings to sponsor monasteries, support monastic education and undertake public works that reinforced social welfare and religious merit. Temples served as centers of royal ritual, education, philanthropic activity etc. linking the spiritual authority of the Sangha with the political authority of the throne. In many cases kings justified political unification or reform by appealing to Buddhist ideals such as the need to restore moral order or accumulate collective merit for the kingdom. This political-religious symbiosis ensured that Buddhism became deeply entwined with governance, state formation, the articulation of Southeast Asian political identities etc. Yet Buddhism's influence extended far beyond royal courts; it permeated the fabric of village society in ways that distinguished Southeast Asia from both India and China. The Sangha became the heart of communal life with monasteries serving as centers of education, literacy, storytelling and ritual activity. Boys often entered monastic life temporarily for education, learning not only Buddhist teachings but also reading, writing, arithmetic and moral discipline. This monastic education created a shared cultural foundation across social classes and contributed to high levels of literacy relative to premodern world standards (Harris, 2005) ^[24]. The Sangha also mediated local disputes, organized festivals, preserved oral histories, performed rituals for healing, protection and agricultural prosperity. Buddhist merit-making rituals like almsgiving, ordination ceremonies and temple construction served as mechanisms of social cohesion, binding families and villages through shared ethical and communal practices. Temples functioned as community centers for festivals, agricultural rituals, funerary rites and local governance becoming the primary public institutions in rural Southeast Asia. In Cambodia's post-conflict era the Sangha played a crucial role in national reconstruction, trauma healing and re-establishing moral order after the devastation of the Khmer Rouge (Hinton, 2005) ^[28]. Thus, the flourishing of Buddhism in Cambodia and Southeast Asia was driven by a combination of early Hindu-Buddhist syncretism, imperial patronage, artistic innovation, adaptable kingship models and deep community integration. Buddhism became not merely a religious tradition but a civilizational force shaping everything from political authority and social welfare to art, education, communal identity etc. Its extraordinary ability to merge with indigenous worldviews while providing a coherent ethical and cosmological framework ensured its enduring presence across the region.

Regression and Decline of Buddhism in India

The regression and eventual decline of Buddhism in India despite its profound intellectual sophistication and long-standing presence, represents one of the most complex transformations in South Asian religious history shaped by shifts in political patronage, evolving social structures, linguistic changes, philosophical contestation and dramatic institutional disruptions. The decline became gradual but visible after the Gupta period when royal patronage that had once been abundant under Ashoka, Kanishka and Harsha began to dissipate. Post-Gupta political fragmentation gave rise to numerous regional kingdoms such as the Gurjara-Pratiharas, Rashtrakutas, Palas etc. many of which increasingly favored Brahmanical traditions, particularly

Vaishnavism and Shaivism as part of their ideological apparatus for state-building (Thapar, 2002) [46]. While the Palas in eastern India continued supporting Buddhist monastic universities like Nalanda and Vikramashila much of northern and peninsular India shifted toward temple-based Hindu worship which promoted powerful priestly institutions and a vibrant temple economy. This shift in royal patronage reduced the financial stability of many Buddhist monasteries undermining the extensive monastic networks that had previously been sustained by land grants, endowments and merchant patronage (Hazra, 1984) [25]. Without consistent state support, Buddhist institutions slowly lost their privileged position within society, allowing Brahmanical traditions to reclaim religious and cultural influence. Simultaneously, the rise of the Bhakti movement from the early medieval period dramatically transformed India's religious landscape by redirecting spiritual focus from monastic renunciation to devotional theism characterized by emotional intimacy, singing, poetry and personal connection with deities such as Vishnu, Shiva, Krishna and various local manifestations of the divine. Bhakti saints emphasized love, surrender, ethical living over doctrinal speculation or monastic discipline, making devotion accessible to all castes, genders and social groups. This stood in stark contrast to the monastic path of Buddhism which required rigorous training, celibacy, withdrawal from household life etc. Bhakti's flexibility, vernacular languages, simple rituals and social inclusiveness resonated deeply with the masses in ways Buddhist scholasticism no longer did. Furthermore, the Bhakti movement democratized spiritual expression through public singing (kirtan), pilgrimage sites, hagiographical literature, community festivals, thereby anchoring Hindu devotion in everyday life while drawing people away from the more secluded world of monasteries. As a result, Bhakti religiosity began to overshadow Buddhist institutions by providing an emotionally engaging and socially integrative form of spirituality that monastic Buddhism was increasingly unable to compete with. The intellectual revival of Brahmanism further accelerated Buddhism's decline, particularly through the philosophical contributions of Adi Shankaracharya in the eighth century. Shankara's Advaita Vedanta offered a powerful nondualistic metaphysical framework that reclaimed intellectual leadership from Buddhist philosophical schools such as Madhyamika and Yogachara (Deutsch, 1988) [13]. In his public debates and writings Shankara critiqued Buddhist doctrines of momentariness (kṣāṇikavāda), no-self (anātman) and emptiness (śūnyatā) arguing that they led to nihilism and undermined the stability of moral and spiritual life. His articulate defense of the Upanishadic worldview combined with a network of monastic institutions (mathas) he established across India, helped revitalize Brahmanical learning and shift philosophical prestige back to the Vedantic tradition. This intellectual shift weakened Buddhism's appeal among educated elites and royal patrons who increasingly viewed Hinduism as offering a more coherent metaphysical system that preserved continuity with India's ancient textual heritage. As the authority of Brahmanical ideology grew, Buddhism found itself marginalized in philosophical discourse as well as in public religious life. Another internal factor contributing to Buddhism's decline was monastic insularity and the erosion of lay-monastic relationships. Over time, many monasteries accumulated considerable wealth from land grants,

donations, temple estates etc. leading to criticisms that monks had become detached from ordinary people's lives and overly focused on scholastic pursuits rather than social engagement (Gokhale, 1992) [22]. This institutional isolation made monasteries vulnerable to political upheavals and economic fluctuations as their survival depended heavily on external support rather than grassroots connections. Some Buddhist communities developed hierarchical structures and doctrinal divisions particularly between Mahayana and Theravada or later between Vajrayana and conservative schools that created internal fragmentation. In contrast, Hindu temples remained deeply embedded in village life through rituals, festivals, and caste-based roles ensuring continuous community participation that Buddhism struggled to match. As Buddhist monks withdrew into scholasticism or esoteric practices, the religion lost its earlier vitality which had been rooted in active ethical teaching, community engagement and moral reform. A related challenge was the linguistic shift that occurred during the early medieval period wherein Pali and Prakrit the languages of early Buddhism declined as Sanskrit re-emerged as the dominant medium of elite discourse (Olivelle, 2006) [33]. Brahmanical scholars used Sanskrit to produce texts, commentaries, ritual manuals that reached royal courts and educated audiences while Buddhist texts remained confined to monastic circles. The inability of Buddhist scholars to adopt Sanskrit widely limited their capacity to influence elite political and intellectual spheres. Meanwhile, the rise of vernacular languages such as Tamil, Telugu, Kannada, Marathi and later Hindi transformed Hindu devotional traditions but left Buddhism largely unaffected as Buddhist preaching did not keep pace with this linguistic democratization. As a result Buddhism remained linguistically isolated, losing both elite and popular audiences as India's linguistic ecology shifted around it. Perhaps the most devastating blow to Buddhism came with the destruction of its monastic universities during the series of invasions beginning in the late twelfth century. The renowned centers of Nalanda, Vikramashila, Somapura and Odantapuri located primarily in Bihar and Bengal were attacked, burned or abandoned during the incursions of Turkish armies led by generals such as Bakhtiyar Khalji (Chakravarti, 1996) [8]. Nalanda, which had thrived for centuries as a global center of Buddhist learning, housing thousands of monks, teachers, manuscripts was irreparably damaged. The destruction of these institutions eliminated the intellectual backbone of Indian Buddhism as these universities had been responsible for training monks, preserving texts, translating scriptures and coordinating missionary activities. Without their libraries, manuscripts, teacher-student networks, the transmission of Buddhist knowledge in India suffered catastrophic interruption. While some monks fled to Nepal, Tibet and Southeast Asia taking manuscripts and knowledge systems with them, Buddhism within India lacked the organizational capacity to recover. Economic and trade-related factors also played a significant role in Buddhism's decline. Historically, Buddhist monasteries had enjoyed strong patronage from merchant guilds, as monastic institutions were located along trade routes provided lodging and participated in commercial activities. With the decline of long-distance overland trade after the fall of the Gupta Empire the collapse of urban centers, and shifts in maritime commerce Buddhist institutions lost vital economic support (Thapar, 2002) [46]. As agrarian economies became more dominant and trade

networks contracted Hindu temple-based agrarian structures funded by land grants and embedded in village relations proved more sustainable than the urban-oriented monastic models of Buddhism. The gradual loss of merchant patronage weakened monastic resources and contributed to the erosion of Buddhist institutional power. Another important factor in Buddhism's decline was its absorption into Hinduism through processes of cultural syncretism and reinterpretation. Over centuries, many Buddhist ethical teachings such as compassion, nonviolence, meditation, renunciation were appropriated into Hindu philosophy and devotional traditions. The Buddha himself was incorporated into the Vaishnava pantheon as the ninth avatar of Vishnu, a narrative that symbolically subsumed Buddhism within a broader Hindu framework (Gombrich, 1988) [23]. As Hinduism absorbed Buddhist festivals, narrative motifs, pilgrimage sites, and monastic ethics, the boundaries between the two traditions became increasingly porous. Ritual practices also merged, with Hindu temple ceremonies and popular devotion integrating elements that had once been distinctly Buddhist. This cultural assimilation made Buddhism appear less distinct and less necessary as an independent tradition contributing to its gradual disappearance from mainstream Indian society. Underlying all these factors was a profound sociological erosion of Buddhist identity shaped by the dynamics of caste-based society and the deep entrenchment of village religiosity. Unlike Hinduism, which wove caste hierarchies into its ritual and social organization Buddhism maintained an egalitarian ethos that appealed to marginalized groups but often clashed with the social realities of rural India (Gellner, 1997) [20]. In the absence of strong institutional support, Buddhism struggled to penetrate rural caste networks or compete with deeply rooted Hindu rituals tied to family life, life-cycle ceremonies, agricultural rhythms and local deities. The monastic focus of Buddhism successful in urban and royal contexts lacked the village-level integration that Hinduism achieved through its broad spectrum of rituals, priests, festivals and temples. As Indian society became increasingly regionalized and caste identities solidified, Buddhism found fewer social spaces where it could remain relevant. Taken together, the decline of Buddhism in India was not the result of any single cause but a convergence of political, economic, linguistic, philosophical, cultural and sociological transformations. Once a vibrant and influential tradition Buddhism gradually lost royal patronage, faced ideological competition from a revitalized Brahmanism, suffered institutional collapse due to external invasions, experienced internal fragmentation and became culturally absorbed into the expanding world of Hindu devotion. Its decline illustrates how religions flourish or fade not merely due to doctrinal content but through their ability to maintain institutional support, cultural relevance, linguistic adaptability, embeddedness within everyday social life. While Buddhism disappeared from most parts of India for nearly a millennium, its legacy endured globally through the traditions that traveled to Sri Lanka, East Asia, Tibet, Southeast Asia etc. regions where it found social conditions, political patronage and cultural spaces more conducive to its growth and transformation.

Comparative Civilizational Analysis

A comparative civilizational analysis of Buddhism's flourishing across Asia and its regression in India reveals a striking divergence shaped by differing social structures,

political contexts, cultural receptivity and historical trajectories too. Buddhism thrived in China, Tibet, Mongolia, Cambodia and mainland Southeast Asia largely because these societies possessed cultural openness, fluid religious boundaries, political systems that were willing to embrace new moral-spiritual frameworks during periods of transformation. In China, for example, the collapse of the Han dynasty and subsequent centuries of disunity created a spiritual vacuum into which Buddhism offered fresh ethical guidance, metaphysical depth, institutional stability etc. (Zürcher, 2007) [52]. Similarly, Tibet's eighth-century monarchy sought new sources of legitimacy and metaphysical authority inviting Indian Buddhist masters whose teachings aligned with Tibetan interests in ritual power, state protection and philosophical insight (Samuel, 1993) [39]. Throughout East and Southeast Asia Buddhism provided a unifying ethical system that resonated with populations undergoing political centralization and social change. These societies did not possess rigid caste systems; instead, they were organized through clan, kinship, tribal structures that were more receptive to Buddhism's universal message of compassion, karma and liberation (Skilling, 2007) [41]. Moreover, Buddhist monasticism fit neatly into Asian cultures that valued scholarly learning, meditation, harmonious cosmological systems. Strong state patronage amplified this receptivity, as rulers embraced Buddhism to legitimize their authority, build monumental religious infrastructure and articulate ethical models of governance such as the Buddhist 'Dharmarāja' or bodhisattva-king. Equally important was Buddhism's remarkable syncretic flexibility. In China, it blended with Confucian filial ethics and Daoist cosmology; in Tibet, it merged with shamanic Bon traditions; in Mongolia, tantric rituals resonated with indigenous spiritual practices; and in Cambodia, Buddhist kingship assimilated older Hindu and animist elements. This adaptive quality ensured that Buddhism was never perceived as a foreign imposition but as a meaningful extension of indigenous cosmologies. By contrast, Buddhism declined in India due to structural rigidities, religious competition, institutional fragility and historical disruptions that made sustained flourishing difficult. Central to this decline was the dominance of the caste-based social structure which remained deeply embedded in village life and Brahmanical ritual systems. Although early Buddhism strongly rejected caste hierarchy and priestly authority, it struggled to penetrate rural caste networks in medieval India where Hindu rituals, temple worship, local deities etc. shaped everyday religious life. The resurgence of reformed Hinduism particularly the Bhakti movement introduced emotional, devotional, vernacular-based religiosity that appealed to all classes and directly competed with Buddhist monastic paths. Bhakti saints such as Ramananda, Tukaram, Andal, Kabir, Raidas and Ramanuja promoted accessible devotional practices, egalitarian ethics and charismatic forms of spirituality that deeply resonated with ordinary people drawing them away from the scholastic and often urban-centered world of Buddhist monasteries. Philosophically, Brahmanical revival under thinkers like Adi Shankaracharya challenged and outcompeted Buddhist doctrines by offering a metaphysical framework (Advaita Vedanta) that reasserted the authority of Vedic knowledge and appealed to intellectual elites. These ideological developments eroded Buddhism's intellectual prestige and shifted royal patronage back to Hindu institutions.

Institutional fragility compounded the problem. While Indian Buddhism had once maintained thriving monastic universities Nalanda, Vikramashila, Odantapuri these institutions became vulnerable to both internal stagnation and external assaults. Wealth accumulation and ritual specialization created monastic insularity, weakening ties between monks and lay supporters. When Turkish armies destroyed these monasteries between the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, the entire scholastic infrastructure of Indian Buddhism collapsed; unlike in Tibet or China, where Buddhist institutions were spread across many regions and deeply integrated into society, Indian Buddhism was heavily dependent on a limited number of monastic centers whose destruction proved devastating (Chakravarti, 1996) [8]. Political upheavals in early medieval India further destabilized Buddhist networks as shifting dynasties prioritized temple-based Hinduism or adopted Islam neither of which relied on Buddhist monastic support systems. As political patronage evaporated, Buddhism found itself unable to compete with Hindu temples supported by agrarian economies and caste-based patronage chains. Meanwhile, changes in trade routes reduced merchant support for monasteries depriving Buddhism of another crucial economic pillar (Thapar, 2002) [46]. Sociologically, the contrasts between India and Asia were profound. India's village-centered religious life rooted in caste norms, agricultural rituals, family deities and Brahmanical priesthoods left little space for a monastic-centered religion that required withdrawal from household duties and rejection of caste roles. Conversely, clan and tribe-based societies in Tibet, Mongolia, and much of Southeast Asia lacked caste hierarchies and were thus far more receptive to Buddhism's egalitarian ethics. Monasticism, rather than conflicting with existing social structures actually complemented them by providing centers of learning, ritual expertise and political mediation. In Tibet and Mongolia, monasteries offered stability to nomadic societies by preserving texts, training monks, arbitrating disputes, aligning cosmic order with political authority. In China and Cambodia, monasteries served as hubs of welfare, education, community life making Buddhism indispensable to social functioning. India's caste system, however, privileged householder duties, ancestor rituals and Brahmanical priestly authority leaving limited space for Buddhist monasteries to embed themselves in village structures. These differing trajectories had long-term civilizational consequences. Across Asia, Buddhism profoundly shaped political ideologies, kingship models, ethical systems, art, architecture and transregional networks of knowledge. Chinese civilization integrated Buddhist metaphysics into its cosmology, artistic traditions and moral philosophy; Tibetan civilization became a monastic theocracy grounded in Vajrayana philosophy and ritual power; Mongolian rulers embraced Buddhist kingship as a cosmological legitimization of empire; and Southeast Asian kingdoms infused Theravada ethics into statecraft and community life creating societies where Buddhist values guided law, education, governance (Skilling, 2007; Strong, 2015) [41, 43]. In India, however, the decline of Buddhism reinforced the resurgence of Hindu traditions leading to the crystallization of classical Hindu philosophy, the expansion of temple culture, the spread of Bhakti devotion and the consolidation of caste-based social norms. Hinduism emerged from this process not as a static tradition but as a

revitalized, pluralistic, regionally diverse system that absorbed elements of Buddhist ethics while reasserting Vedic authority. The Buddha was incorporated into the Hindu pantheon as an avatar of Vishnu symbolizing not only Buddhism's absorption but also Hinduism's expansive cultural capacity. These civilizational outcomes demonstrate that Buddhism's decline in India was less a disappearance than a transformation, as its ideas migrated abroad evolved into new forms and helped shape other civilizations while simultaneously being reinterpreted and assimilated within Hinduism itself. Taken together, this comparative civilizational analysis shows that Buddhism flourished in Asia because it encountered open cultural landscapes, adaptable social structures, supportive political systems that appreciated its ethical, intellectual and ritual dimensions. In India, where caste hierarchies dominated social organization, where Bhakti devotion captivated the masses and where monastic institutions became vulnerable to political and economic disruption, Buddhism gradually receded. These contrasting outcomes underscore a central sociological insight as religions do not evolve solely through doctrinal coherence but through their ability to align with the social structures, cultural needs and political ambitions of the societies they encounter. Buddhism's divergent histories in India and Asia thus reveal the complex interplay of structure and agency, ideology and adaptation, continuity and change that shape the destinies of religious traditions.

Contemporary Relevance

The contemporary relevance of Buddhism, both within India and globally reveals how a tradition that once declined in its homeland has re-emerged in new and unexpected ways shaped by modern social movements, global cultural flows and renewed interest in contemplative practices. In India, the most significant revival has come through the Neo-Buddhist or Ambedkarite movement led by Dr. B. R. Ambedkar, who, in 1956, embraced Buddhism along with hundreds of thousands of Dalits as a collective rejection of caste oppression and a reclamation of dignity, equality, moral freedom etc. This movement reframed Buddhism not only as a spiritual path but also as a powerful instrument of social justice, political assertion and identity formation for marginalized communities and it continues to thrive today through educational institutions, cultural festivals, civic activism that emphasize rationality, compassion and human rights. Alongside such social transformations, India has witnessed a major revival of Buddhist pilgrimage sites with places such as Bodh Gaya, Sarnath, Kushinagar and Nalanda attracting millions of visitors each year pilgrims, scholars, tourists and monks from across Asia and the world. These sites have undergone significant restoration supported by both domestic initiatives and international collaboration transforming them into hubs of cultural diplomacy where India reasserts its historical position as the birthplace of Buddhism. This revival has not only revitalized local economies but also fostered cross-cultural dialogue and scholarly exchange reaffirming Buddhism's role in shaping Asian civilizational unity. Globally, Buddhism has found extraordinary contemporary relevance through the widespread adoption of meditation-based practices such as mindfulness, Vipassana, Zen which have been integrated into psychology, neuroscience, healthcare, education and corporate wellness programs. Secular

mindfulness movements drawing from Theravada and Zen techniques have gained remarkable traction in the West, where they are promoted as tools for reducing stress, enhancing emotional well-being, and cultivating ethical awareness. At the same time, traditional forms such as Vipassana meditation popularized by S. N. Goenka attract millions of practitioners worldwide demonstrating Buddhism's ability to speak to modern psychological needs while maintaining its contemplative integrity. Zen aesthetics, monastic discipline and philosophical minimalism have influenced global art, literature, lifestyle movements illustrating Buddhism's soft cultural power. Many Buddhist heritage sites across Asia from the Mahabodhi Temple and Sanchi Stupa to Cambodia's Angkor Wat, Thailand's Ayutthaya and China's Longmen Grottoes have been designated UNESCO World Heritage sites highlighting their global significance as shared human patrimony. These sites function as important avenues of international diplomacy, cultural preservation, scholarly collaboration linking nations through common historical and spiritual heritage. In this way, Buddhism today exists in multiple intertwined forms like as a social justice movement in India, a global contemplative philosophy, a major cultural and diplomatic resource and a living spiritual tradition practiced by millions. Its contemporary relevance shows that Buddhism's civilizational legacy is far from static; instead, it continues to evolve, adapt and inspire across diverse contexts in the twenty-first century.

Conclusion

The comparative exploration of Buddhism's flourishing across Asia and its regression in India reveals a profound sociological and civilizational paradox that underscores how religious traditions evolve not solely on the basis of doctrine but through their complex interactions with social structures, political landscapes, cultural sensibilities. Buddhism, born in the intellectual heartland of the Gangetic plains offered an ethical, philosophical and contemplative system that challenged ritualism, caste hierarchies, priestly authority. Yet its long-term trajectory in India was shaped by the rise of Brahmanical revivalism, the emotional appeal of the Bhakti movement, the dominance of caste-based rural religiosity, the weakening of monastic institutions and catastrophic historical disruptions that collectively marginalized the tradition. In contrast, across China, Tibet, Mongolia, Cambodia and Southeast Asia, Buddhism entered societies that were more open to new spiritual frameworks, less encumbered by rigid hereditary hierarchies and more responsive to monastic and philosophical forms of religiosity. State support, cultural adaptability, linguistic translation, the ability to integrate seamlessly with indigenous cosmologies allowed Buddhism to flourish abroad with creative vibrancy. The comparative civilizational analysis therefore highlights a central paradox: a tradition that declined in its homeland went on to become a foundational pillar of multiple world civilizations, shaping political ethics, artistic expressions, educational institutions and collective identities across vast regions of Asia. At the same time, the global legacy of Buddhism extends far beyond its ancient civilizational contributions. Its philosophical depth, nonviolent ethics and contemplative practices have become integral to modern global culture shaping psychology through mindfulness, influencing diplomacy through shared heritage sites and enriching

ethical discourse through its emphasis on compassion and interdependence. Buddhism's revival in India through the Ambedkarite movement also demonstrates its enduring relevance as a vehicle for social transformation, dignity, moral empowerment etc. Its re-emergence as both a spiritual resource and a tool for social justice highlights how Buddhism continues to speak to the aspirations of marginalized communities seeking equality and self-respect. In contemporary interfaith and pluralistic contexts, Buddhism offers a valuable bridge for dialogue as its tradition of nonviolence, tolerance, philosophical inquiry and mutual respect provides an ethical foundation for navigating religious diversity in an increasingly interconnected world. As nations rediscover the shared cultural histories encoded in Buddhist art, architecture, literature and pilgrimage networks, Buddhism serves as a reminder of Asia's deep inter-civilizational exchanges and the possibility of harmonious coexistence. Ultimately, the story of Buddhism its rise, decline, diffusion and reinvention illustrate the resilience of ideas and the power of cultural adaptation, demonstrating that while religions may shift in form and geography, their deeper ethical and philosophical contributions can continue to shape humanity long after their original contexts have transformed.

Reference

1. Atwood CP. Encyclopedia of Mongolia and the Mongol Empire. Facts on File, 2004.
2. Bawden CR. The modern history of Mongolia. Kegan Paul, 1989.
3. Beal S. Si-Yu-Ki: Buddhist records of the Western world. Trübner & Co, 1884, 1–2.
4. Bechert H, Gombrich R. The world of Buddhism. Thames and Hudson, 1991.
5. Berger PL. The sacred canopy: Elements of a sociological theory of religion. Anchor Books, 1967.
6. Brook T. The confusions of pleasure: Commerce and culture in Ming China. University of California Press, 1993.
7. Chakrabarti DK. India: An archaeological history. Oxford University Press, 2001.
8. Chakravarti NP. The return of the Buddha: Ancient symbols for a new nation. Oxford University Press, 1996.
9. Ch'en KKS. The Chinese transformation of Buddhism. Princeton University Press, 1973.
10. Coedès G. The Indianized states of Southeast Asia. University of Hawaii Press, 1968.
11. Conze E (Ed. & Trans.). Selected sayings from the perfection of wisdom. Buddhist Society, 1955.
12. Dalton J. The taming of the demons: Violence and liberation in Tibetan Buddhism. Yale University Press, 2011.
13. Deutsch E. Advaita Vedanta: A philosophical reconstruction. University of Hawaii Press, 1988.
14. Durkheim E. The elementary forms of the religious life. George Allen & Unwin, 1915.
15. Eisenstadt SN. Multiple modernities. *Daedalus*, 2000; 129(1):1–29.
16. Elverskog J. Our great Qing: The Mongols, Buddhism, and the state in late imperial China. University of Hawaii Press, 2006.
17. Faure B. Chan insights and oversights. Princeton University Press, 1993.

18. Freeman M, Jacques C. *Ancient Angkor*. River Books, 2006.
19. Garfield J. *The fundamental wisdom of the Middle Way*. Oxford University Press, 1995.
20. Gellner DN. *Monk, householder, and tantric priest: Newar Buddhism and its hierarchy of ritual*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
21. Gethin R. *The foundations of Buddhism*. Oxford University Press, 1998.
22. Gokhale BG. *Buddhist monks and monasteries of India*. Motilal Banarsidass, 1992.
23. Gombrich R. *Theravada Buddhism: A social history*. Routledge, 1988.
24. Harris I. *Cambodian Buddhism: History and practice*. University of Hawaii Press, 2005.
25. Hazra KL. *History of Buddhism in India*. Munshiram Manoharlal, 1984.
26. Hershock P. *Chan Buddhism*. University of Hawaii Press, 2005.
27. Higham C. *Early mainland Southeast Asia*. River Books, 2014.
28. Hinton AL. *Why did they kill? Cambodia in the shadow of genocide*. University of California Press, 2005.
29. Humphrey C. *Theories of North Asian shamanism*. In: Gellner E (Ed.). *Soviet and Western Anthropology*. Columbia University Press, 1980, 243–254.
30. Huntington SP. *The clash of civilizations and the remaking of world order*. Simon & Schuster, 1996.
31. Kapstein MT. *The Tibetans*. Blackwell Publishing, 2006.
32. Novetzke CL. *Religion and public memory: A cultural history of the Marathi Bhakti movement*. Columbia University Press, 2008.
33. Olivelle P. *Between the empires: Society in India 300 BCE to 400 CE*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
34. Rahula W. *What the Buddha taught*. Grove Press, 1974.
35. Reischauer EO. *Ennin's travels in T'ang China*. Ronald Press, 1955.
36. Rogers EM. *Diffusion of innovations* 5th ed. Free Press, 2003.
37. Rossabi M. *Khublai Khan: His life and times*. University of California Press, 1988.
38. Ruegg DS. *Buddhist studies in the new millennium*. *Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies*, 1995;18(2):319–349.
39. Samuel G. *Civilized shamans: Buddhism in Tibetan societies*. Smithsonian Institution Press, 1993.
40. Sharf RH. *Coming to terms with Chinese Buddhism*. University of Hawaii Press, 2002.
41. Skilling P. *Kingship in Buddhist Southeast Asia*. *Journal of the Siam Society*, 2007;95:1–20.
42. Snellgrove D, Richardson H. *A cultural history of Tibet*. Shambhala, 1986.
43. Strong J. *Buddhisms: An introduction*. Oneworld Publications, 2015.
44. Swearer DK. *The Buddhist world of Southeast Asia*. SUNY Press, 2010.
45. Teiser SF. *The Lotus Sutra in Chinese Buddhism*. University of Hawaii Press, 2004.
46. Thapar R. *Early India: From the origins to AD 1300*. Penguin Books, 2002.
47. Toynbee A. *A study of history* Oxford University Press, 1957, 1–12.
48. Weber M. *The religion of India: The sociology of Hinduism and Buddhism*. Free Press, 1958.
49. Whitfield S. *The Silk Road: Trade, travel, war and faith*. British Library, 2004.
50. Williams P. *Mahayana Buddhism: The doctrinal foundations*. Routledge, 2009.
51. Wright AF. *Buddhism in Chinese history*. Stanford University Press, 1959.
52. Zürcher E. *The Buddhist conquest of China*. Brill, 2007.