



Emotion beyond dialogue: Non-verbal narratives in Tamil Cinema

Dr. M Kirubakaran

Guest Lecturer, Department of Journalism and Mass Communication, Periyar University, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Visual storytelling in cinema transcends spoken language, allowing emotions, relationships, and narratives to unfold through images, expressions, and gestures. In Tamil cinema, director Radha Mohan stands out for his subtle and effective use of non-verbal techniques. This study explores how three of his films *Azhagiya Theeye*, *Mozhi*, and *Abhiyum Naanum* employ visual storytelling to convey emotional depth and narrative progression, aligning with the theme “Silent Images, Loud Stories.” The research adopts a qualitative textual analysis methodology, focusing on selected scenes from each film to examine mise-en-scene, facial expressions, body language, cinematography, and sound design. This approach is supported by theoretical frameworks drawn from visual semiotics, non-verbal communication theory, and cinematic realism.

Keywords: Visual storytelling, non-verbal cinema, tamil films, emotional expression, Radha Mohan

Introduction

Cinema, a powerful narrative art form, is not bound by words alone. The interplay of visuals, sounds, and silence provides an expressive language capable of evoking profound emotions (Monaco, 2009; Bordwell & Thompson, 2017) [8, 23]. In Indian cinema, where dialogue-driven storytelling dominates, Radha Mohan’s films stand apart in their reliance on non-verbal elements to narrate complex emotional journeys. Historically, Indian cinema, since the era of silent films, has employed visual metaphor and expressive performance to connect with a linguistically diverse audience (Dwyer, 2006; Rajadhyaksha & Willemen, 1999) [12, 27]. Even after the advent of sound, visual cues remained essential in shaping cinematic affect and audience reception (Gopalan, 2002; Vasudevan, 2011) [16, 34]. In the Tamil film industry, known for its melodramatic tropes and rhetorical flourishes, Radha Mohan’s preference for subtle, image-based storytelling marks a notable departure (Srinivas, 2012). His cinema engages with broader aesthetic traditions, drawing on theories of cinematic realism and performative minimalism (Bazin, 1967; Nichols, 2010) [5, 25]. This paper investigates how non-verbal cinematic tools enhance storytelling in three of his notable Tamil films, emphasizing subtle performance, visual framing, and auditory design as integral to narrative construction. The analysis positions Radha Mohan’s work within broader debates about realism, emotional representation, and audience engagement in Indian cinema (Madhava Prasad, 1998; Chakravarty, 1993) [20].

Literature Review

Non-verbal storytelling in cinema has been widely studied for its ability to transcend language and evoke emotion (Metz, 1974; Monaco, 2009) [22, 23]. Classical theorists such as Eisenstein (1949) [13], Arnheim (1957) [2], and Bazin (1967) [5] highlight how montage, visual form, and cinematic realism create meaning beyond dialogue. In India, melodrama has long shaped cinematic expression (Thomas, 1995; Nandy, 1998; Vasudevan, 2011) [24, 33, 34], yet directors like Radha Mohan depart from convention by favoring subtle gestures and restraint (Hardgrave, 2008; Baskaran,

2009) [4, 17]. Sound design also plays a crucial role, with silence and minimalism often replacing dialogue (Chion, 1994; Bordwell & Thompson, 2017) [8, 10]. Audience studies confirm that viewers connect deeply with such visual-symbolic storytelling (Ganti, 2013; Srinivas, 2013) [15, 31], suggesting that Radha Mohan’s work reflects a broader cultural shift toward realism within Tamil cinema. The study of non-verbal storytelling in cinema is grounded in film semiotics and communication theory. Metz (1974) [22] conceptualized cinema as a language system, while Barthes (1977) [3] emphasized the layered meanings of visual signs. Birdwhistell (1970) [6] highlighted kinesics—facial expression, posture, and gesture—as vital communicative elements, which in film serve as narrative tools. Bazin (1967) [5] reinforced the role of cinematic realism, privileging naturalistic performance and visual depth over dialogue. In the Indian context, Rajadhyaksha and Willemen (1999) [27] trace the evolution of visual expression across regional cinemas, while Sundararaj (2015) [32] underscores the use of silence and restrained performance in Tamil films. Gopalan (2002) [16] discusses genre deviations, which help contextualize Radha Mohan’s subtle departures from mainstream tropes. More recent studies (Velayutham, 2008; Rangan, 2020) [35] highlight how gestures, mise-en-scene, and affective cues shape audience empathy, offering useful frameworks for analyzing Radha Mohan’s visual storytelling.

Research Methods

This study employs qualitative textual analysis of Radha Mohan’s *Azhagiya Theeye*, *Mozhi*, and *Abhiyum Naanum*, using a frame-by-frame approach to examine body language, expressions, camera work, mise-en-scène, and silence (Krippendorff, 2013; McKee, 2003) [19, 21]. The analysis is informed by Visual Semiotics (Metz, 1974; Barthes, 1977) [3, 22], theories of non-verbal communication (Birdwhistell, 1970; Ekman & Friesen, 1969) [6, 14], and concepts of cinematic realism (Bazin, 1967; Bordwell, 1985; Nichols, 2010) [5, 7, 25], contextualized through Indian cinema scholarship (Vasudevan, 2011; Rajadhyaksha, 2016) [26, 34].

Results and Discussion

Azhagiya Theeye (2004) develops its romance through subtle visual exchanges rather than dialogue. Moments like the quiet breakfast scene or Nandhini's silent note to Chandran highlight eye contact, pauses, and gestures as signs of emotional maturity. Natural lighting, domestic interiors, and minimal background music create a sense of realism, with ambient sounds adding texture. Dialogues such as "Naan solla virumbala, aana unakku theriyum" (I don't want to say it, but you understand) reinforce the theme of unspoken communication. Critics (Srinivasan, 2004) ^[30] praised the film for its naturalistic style and departure from melodramatic convention.

Mozhi (2007) most explicitly engages with non-verbal communication through Archana (Jyothika), a deaf and mute woman who conveys emotion via sign language, expression, and posture. In key scenes receiving a music player or rejecting Karthik's proposal her silence, trembling hands, and shifting gaze replace dialogue, with the absence of background score heightening tension. Perspective shifts, such as partially muted arguments, immerse viewers in her sensory world, while close-ups capture resilience and vulnerability. Dialogues by others, like Karthik's line "Aval pesa maattanga-nu sollaliye, aana aval puriyudhu" ("She may not speak, but she understands"), underline the film's theme. Critics emphasized the film's restraint; Rangan (2007) ^[28] observed that in Mozhi, "silence breathes, not breaks." Likewise,

Abhiyum Naanum (2008) conveys the emotional nuances of the father-daughter relationship through silence and expressive gestures. When Abhi reveals her love for a North Indian, Raghuraman's (Prakash Raj) fading smile and trembling hands captured in close-up convey his turmoil without words. Everyday routines like drinking tea or walking in the garden symbolize unspoken bonds, while placing Abhi's childhood photo on the dining table serves as a visual metaphor of acceptance. The farewell scene, devoid of dialogue, relies on posture and expression to portray emotional closure. Critics (Ananda Vikatan, 2008) ^[1] praised the film's maturity and restraint.

Discussion

Radha Mohan consistently demonstrates that silence, gesture, and spatial arrangement can express as much, if not more, than spoken dialogue. His films rely on naturalism, subtlety, and emotional authenticity. This aligns with Bazin's theory that cinematic realism offers viewers a deeper emotional connection. The visual language used in these films creates space for audience interpretation, encouraging active emotional engagement. Furthermore, his characters reflect middle-class sensibilities where restraint and politeness often veil deeper emotions. This approach ensures that the visual narrative style aligns meaningfully with Tamil cultural sensibilities. Each film offers insight into relationships romantic, familial, and personal through carefully choreographed visual cues.

Conclusion

Radha Mohan's films illustrate the profound potential of visual storytelling. In Azhagiya Theeye, Mozhi, and Abhiyum Naanum, emotional narratives unfold through non-verbal cues such as silence, expression, and setting. These films enrich Tamil cinema by showcasing how minimal dialogue can yield maximum emotional resonance.

Through careful composition, performance, and sound design, Radha Mohan elevates the visual language of cinema into a powerful tool of storytelling.

References

1. Ananda Vikatan. Review of Abhiyum Naanum. Ananda Vikatan Weekly, 2008.
2. Arnheim R. Film as art. University of California Press, 1957.
3. Barthes R. Image, music, text (S. Heath, Trans.). Fontana Press, 1977.
4. Baskaran ST. The eye of the serpent: An introduction to Tamil cinema. East West Books, 2009.
5. Bazin A. What is cinema?. University of California Press, 1967, 1.
6. Birdwhistell RL. Kinesics and context: Essays on body motion communication. University of Pennsylvania Press, 1970.
7. Bordwell D. Narration in the fiction film. University of Wisconsin Press, 1985.
8. Bordwell D, Thompson K. Film art: An introduction (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill, 2017.
9. Booth GD. Behind the curtain: Making music in Mumbai's film studios. Oxford University Press, 2008.
10. Chion M. Audio-vision: Sound on screen. Columbia University Press, 1994.
11. Datta S. Globalisation and representations of women in Indian cinema. Routledge, 2017.
12. Dwyer R. Filming the gods: Religion and Indian cinema. Routledge, 2006.
13. Eisenstein S. Film form: Essays in film theory. Harcourt, 1949.
14. Ekman P, Friesen WV. The repertoire of nonverbal behavior: Categories, origins, usage, and coding. *Semiotica*, 1969;1(1):49-98.
15. Ganti T. Bollywood: A guidebook to popular Hindi cinema (2nd ed.). Routledge, 2013.
16. Gopalan L. Cinema of interruptions: Action genres in contemporary Indian cinema. BFI Publishing, 2002.
17. Hardgrave RL. Essays on Indian cinema. Oxford University Press, 2008.
18. Knapp ML, Hall JA. Nonverbal communication in human interaction (7th ed.). Wadsworth, 2010.
19. Krippendorff K. Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology (3rd ed.). Sage, 2013.
20. Madhava Prasad M. Ideology of the Hindi film: A historical construction. Oxford University Press, 1998.
21. McKee A. Textual analysis: A beginner's guide. Sage, 2003.
22. Metz C. Film language: A semiotics of the cinema (M. Taylor, Trans.). University of Chicago Press, 1974.
23. Monaco J. How to read a film: Movies, media, and beyond (4th ed.). Oxford University Press, 2009.
24. Nandy A. The secret politics of our desires: Innocence, culpability and Indian popular cinema. Zed Books, 1998.
25. Nichols B. Introduction to documentary (2nd ed.). Indiana University Press, 2010.
26. Rajadhyaksha A. Indian cinema in the time of celluloid: From Bollywood to the emergency. Indiana University Press, 2016.
27. Rajadhyaksha A, Willemsen P. Encyclopaedia of Indian cinema (2nd ed.). British Film Institute, 1999.

28. Rangan B. Silent strengths: Review of Mozhi. *The Hindu*, 2007. <https://www.thehindu.com/>
29. Rangan B. *Conversations on cinema: Essays and reviews*. Context, 2010.
30. Srinivas P. Review of Azhagiya Theeye. *The Hindu*, 2004. <https://www.thehindu.com/>
31. Srinivas SV. *Politics as performance: A social history of the Telugu cinema*. Permanent Black, 2013.
32. Sundararaj T. *Reading emotions in Indian cinema: A study of Tamil film narratives*. Thamizh Pathippagam, 2015.
33. Thomas R. Melodrama and the negotiation of morality in mainstream Hindi film. In C. A. Breckenridge (Ed.), *Consuming modernity: Public culture in a South Asian world*. University of Minnesota Press, 1995, 157–182.
34. Vasudevan RS. *The melodramatic public: Film form and spectacle in Indian cinema*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.
35. Velayutham S. *Tamil cinema: The cultural politics of India's other film industry*. Routledge, 2008.